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Los covering arrays (CAs) son objetos combinatorios con amplias aplicaciones prácticas, como la calibración de parámetros en experimentos, las pruebas de software y hardware y en la implementación de códigos surjectivos. Un covering array $C A(N ; t, k, v)$ es denotado por la tupla de cuatro enteros $N, t, k$, y $v$ con $t \leq k$ y representado por una matriz de $N \times k$ elementos en la cual cada subarreglo de tamaño $N \times t$ contiene todas las $t$-tuplas formadas con $v$ símbolos al menos una vez, donde $N$ representa la cantidad de filas del CA, $k$ la cantidad de columnas del CA y $t$ representa la fuerza de cobertura del CA.

Este documento presenta un nuevo paradigma para la construcción de CAs a través de la transformación de ellos al dominio de grafos. Se propone la representación cobertura en nodos que embebe la cobertura provista por un CA en los nodos de un grafo. Esta representación ofrece la posibilidad de construir CAs con el mínimo número de filas a través de la solución del problema NP-duro de encontrar la cobertura con cliques de todos los nodos de un grafo con el mínimo número de cliques. Se muestran tres enfoques distintos para resolver este problema: a) un algoritmo exacto, b) un algoritmo avaro, y c) un algoritmo metaheurístico. Además se presenta un algoritmo de post-optimización de CAs basado en grafos (GBPO) para reducir el numero de filas de un covering array o el número de interacciones que faltan en un covering array parcial.

Los resultados muestran que el algoritmo exacto construye CAs óptimos que igualan las mejores cotas conocidas para 7 de 7 instancias probadas, el algoritmo avaro iguala 18 instancias y mejora 77 instancias de las 95 probadas respecto a una version del algoritmo avaro In-parameter-order (IPOG-F), el algoritmo metaheurístico construye 17 instancias que igualan a las mejores cotas conocidas y 4 instancias que son mejoradas del total de 33 instancias, y finalmente el algoritmo GBPO mejora 560 de 560 CAs del repositorio de instancias generado por el algoritmo IPOG-F.
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Covering arrays (CAs) are combinatorial objects that have wide practical applications such as the hardware and software testing, the parameter tuning for experimental design, and the implementation of surjective codes. A covering array is denoted as $C A(N ; t, k, v)$, with a 4 -tuple of integers $N, t, k$, and $v$ and represented by an $N \times k$ array such that every $N \times t$ sub-array contains all $t$-tuples from $v$ symbols at least once, where $N$ denotes the number of rows of the CA, $k$ denotes the number of columns of the CA and $t$ represents the strength of the CA.

This document presents a novel paradigm to construct CAs through a mapping to the graph domain. We propose the coverage in nodes representation that embeds the coverage provided by a CA in the nodes of a graph. This representation allows to construct CAs with the minimal number of rows through the solution of the minimum clique covering problem (MCCP) classified as an NP-hard problem. We present three approaches for solving of MCCP: a) an exact algorithm, b) a greedy algorithm, and c) a metaheuristic algorithm. Furthermore, a graph-based post-optimization (GBPO) for CAs is presented. GBPO reduces the number of rows of a CA or the number of missing interactions of a partial CA.

Results show that for the experimentation: of 7 CAs for the exact algorithm, 7 CAs matched the bestknown upper bounds for CAs; of 95 CAs for the greedy algorithm: i) 18 CAs matched versus a state of the art greedy algorithm, and ii) 77 instances improved the upper bounds of the In-parameter-order (IPOG-F) algorithm; of 77 CAs for the metaheuristic algorithm: i) 17 CAs matched the best-known upper bounds and, ii) 4 CAs of the best-known upper bounds; and for the experimentation of 560 CAs for the GBPO algorithm: 560 CAs were improved of the IPOG-F instances repository.

## Nomenclature

| CA | Covering array |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAs | Covering arrays |
| CAN | Covering array number |
| CAK | Covering array column |
| CACP | Covering array construction problem |
| CN | Coverage in nodes |
| MCA | Mixed covering array |
| MCAN | Mixed covering array number |
| MCP | Maximum clique problem |
| MCCP | Minimum clique covering problem |

## Definitions

## Definition 1 (Covering array (CA))

Given the positive integers $N, t, k$ and $v$ a covering array $\mathrm{CA}(N ; t, k, v)$ is a matrix $A$ of size $N \cdot k$, where $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq N-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ with values over the set $\mathbb{Z}_{v}=\{0, \ldots, v-1\}$ stands the property that for each $t$ distinct columns $0 \leq c_{0}<\ldots<c_{t-1} \leq k-1$ and each $t$-tuple $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{t-1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{v}^{t}$ at least one row exist such that $x_{i}=a_{r, c_{i}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq t-1$.

## Definition 2 (Covering array number (CAN))

Given $t, k$ and $v$ parameters the covering array number $\operatorname{CAN}(t, k, v)$ is the $\mathrm{CA}(N ; t, k, v)$ such that $N$ is the minimum possible.

$$
\operatorname{CAN}(t, k, v)=\min (N)\{N \mid \exists \mathrm{CA}(N ; t, k, v)\}
$$

## Definition 3 (Covering array column (CAK))

Given $t, N$ and $v$ parameters the covering array column $\operatorname{CAK}(t, N, v)$ is the $\operatorname{CA}(N ; t, k, v)$ such that $k$ is the maximum possible.

$$
\operatorname{CAK}(t, N, v)=\max (k)\{k \mid \exists \mathrm{CA}(N ; t, k, v)\}
$$

## Definition 4 (Mixed covering array (MCA))

Given $t, k$ and $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ parameters, the $\operatorname{MCA}\left(N ; t, k,\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)\right.$ is a matrix of size $N \cdot k$, where the values for column $i$ are over the set $\mathbb{Z}_{v_{i}}=\left\{0, \ldots, v_{i}-1\right\}$ and for each $t$ distinct columns $i_{0}, \ldots, i_{t-1}$ every $t$-tuple in $\prod_{j=0}^{t-1}\left\{0, \ldots, v_{i_{j}}-1\right\}$ appear at least in one row.

## Definition 5 (Mixed covering array number (MCAN))

Given $t, k$ and $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ parameters the mixed covering array number $\operatorname{MCAN}\left(t, k,\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)\right)$ is the $\operatorname{MCA}\left(N ; t, k,\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)\right)$ such that $N$ is the minimum possible.

$$
\operatorname{MCAN}\left(t, k,\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)\right)=\min (N)\left\{N \mid \exists \operatorname{MCA}\left(N ; t, k,\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

## Definition 6 (Isomorphic CAs)

A CA is isomorphic of $A$ if its possible to turn it into $A$ by a combination of a row permutation, a column permutation and a symbol permutation of a subset of columns (defined in [58]).

## Definition 7 (Clique)

Given a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, a clique is a set $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ such that, for every distinct nodes $u, v \in \mathcal{C}$ the edge $(u, v)$ exists in $\mathcal{E}$. A clique is a complete subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$.

## Definition 8 (Maximal clique)

Given a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, a maximal clique is a clique that cannot be extended with another vertex of $\mathcal{V}$.

## Definition 9 (Maximum clique)

Given a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, a maximum clique is the clique with the largest amount of vertices. Therefore a maximum clique is maximal but, not all maximal cliques are maximum.

## Definition 10 (Maximum clique problem (MCP))

Given a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ find the largest complete subgraph $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. MCP is a problem NP-complete, probed by mapping to satisfiability problem (demonstration in [54]).

## Introduction

This chapter presents the thesis problem, main objective and specific objectives of the thesis. Section 1.1 presents an introduction to covering arrays. Section 1.2 describes the practical applications of covering arrays. Section 1.3 presents the advantages of solving the covering array construction problem (CACP) in the graph domain. Section 1.4 shows a study that illustrate the impact of inadequate software testing in US and how the strength of a CA is related with the detection rate of software faults. In Section 1.5 the thesis problem is stated, followed by the research hypothesis, the main objective, and the particular objectives of the thesis.

### 1.1 An introduction to covering arrays

A covering array $\mathrm{CA}(N ; t, k, v)$, denoted with a 4-tuple of integers, is used to construct a set of test cases to verify components of software or hardware. Each one of the $N$ rows of the CA represents a test case and is composed by $k$ values that represent every factor that integrate the component. These factors have values over the set $\mathbb{Z}_{v}=\{0, \ldots, v-1\}$. The strength parameter represented
as $t$ indicates that all combinations of $t$ factors occurs at least once in the CA. The covering array ensures that each of the $v^{t}$ interactions of each one of the $\binom{k}{t}$ possible $t$-subsets is covered in, at least, one row.

Formally, a covering array is denoted as $C A(N ; t, k, v)$, where $N$ represents the number of rows of the CA, $k$ represents the number of columns, $v$ is the number of symbols of the alphabet or vocabulary for the CA and it delimits the possible values for the cells of the CA to the set $\mathbb{Z}_{v}=\{0, \ldots, v-1\}$, and $t$ represents the strength of the CA.

For example, the Figure 1.1 shows the covering array $\mathrm{CA}(11 ; 2,5,3)$. This covering array has $N=11$ rows and $k=5$ columns, its alphabet is $v=3$, and its strength is $t=2$. Each one of the $\binom{5}{2}=10$ subarrays of two distinct columns covers every member of the $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{2}$ at least once. Set $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{2}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{2} & =\{0,1,2\}^{2}=\{0,1,2\} \times\{0,1,2\} \\
& =\{(0,0),(0,1),(0,2),(1,0),(1,1),(1,2),(2,0),(2,1),(2,2)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\downarrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |

Figure 1.1: The covering array $\mathrm{CA}(11 ; 2,5,3)$. In the columns marked down with an arrow the first occurrence of each tuple of the set $\{0,1,2\}^{2}$ is colored in red.

Consider the following real application: A Webmaster wants to validate the successful access to his new web service, taking into account the next information about the requesting user: operating system, web browser, broadband speed, time at which a request was sent, and the location from where the user sent it. Considering that each of those parameters has three possible values (shown in Table 1.1). The total number of test cases of an exhaustive test that should be executed in order to assess the behavior of the web service would be 243 , which means testing every single combination of the possible parameters $\left(3^{5}\right)$.

| Operating System | Web browser | Broadband speed | Time of request | Location |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Windows | Firefox | 512 Kbps | 2 AM | China |
| OS X | Opera | 1 Mbps | 10 AM | Russia |
| Linux | Chrome | 10 Mbps | 6 PM | USA |

Table 1.1: Possible values for the parameters to be taken into account for a real application testing.

In a small example such as this, the number of total test cases might not be impactful, but if the number of parameters taken into account or the range of values for each parameter increase, the number of test cases to assess a correct behavior would grow exponentially. For small test cases, such as testing the success or failure of a request, that can be evaluated in short time the cost of executing every single case might not be meaningful, but when testing cases are expensive, reducing the amount of tests needed to assure a correct behavior or detect failures greatly reduces the costs associated to the test, both monetary and time wise.

For example, for the previously mentioned application, using combinatorial testing we can construct a $\mathrm{CA}(11 ; 2,5,3)$ with 11 test cases that validates every interaction between two parameters or a $\mathrm{CA}(33 ; 3,5,3)$ with 33 test cases that validates every interaction between three parameters. The CA with $t=2$ represents $<5 \%$ of the test cases needed by the exhaustive test. Meanwhile, the CA with $t=3$ represents $<15 \%$ of the test cases needed by the exhaustive test. Studies shown that
validating interactions between two parameters detect $>70 \%$ of the software failures and validating interactions between three parameters detect $>90 \%$ of those failures. In the Table 1.2 are shown the test cases needed to validate every interaction between two parameters.

| No. | Operating System | Web browser | Broadband speed | Time of request | Location |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | OS X | Opera | 512 Kbps | 6 PM | China |
| 2 | Linux | Chrome | 1 Mbps | 10 AM | China |
| 3 | Windows | Opera | 10 Mbps | 10 AM | USA |
| 4 | Linux | Firefox | 512 Kbps | 2 AM | USA |
| 5 | Windows | Firefox | 512 Kbps | 10 AM | Russia |
| 6 | Linux | Opera | 1 Mbps | 6 PM | Russia |
| 7 | Windows | Chrome | 512 Kbps | 6 PM | USA |
| 8 | OS X | Firefox | 1 Mbps | 10 AM | USA |
| 9 | Windows | Opera | 1 Mbps | 2 AM | China |
| 10 | Linux | Firefox | 10 Mbps | 6 PM | China |
| 11 | OS X | Chrome | 10 Mbps | 2 AM | Russia |

Table 1.2: A set of test cases built from the covering array $\mathrm{CA}(11 ; 2,5,3)$.

### 1.2 Background

CAs are combinatorial objects effective in the processes of: software testing [18], hardware testing [4. 56], experimental designs [20], detection of trojans in hardware [27], and in the implementation of surjective codes [47].

Nowadays, several approaches have been proposed to solve the CACP, such as: exact, greedy, algebraic, and metaheuristic algorithms, see [57].

### 1.3 Justification

Despite the diversity in the proposed approaches to solve CACP, there is not, to our best knowledge, any method that solves CACP in the graph domain. The proposed approaches have used as representation a matrix of integers [15] or a vector of integers [11] but not a graph representation. Despite a graph could be implemented as a matrix through an adjacency matrix, the proposed approaches that use a matrix of integers do not have the same purpose.

The solution of CACP in the graph domain allows us to take advantage of the state-of-the-art algorithms that are related to graph problems such as the maximum clique.

Using a graph representation to solve CACP also allows us to save time spent in process of validation of the coverage of a CA, due to the fact that the solution to the problem mapped to the graph domain keeps tracking of the interactions that are already covered.

### 1.4 Impact

In 2003, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) published that inadequate software testing costs to the US economy $\$ 59.5$ billion per year, even though that 50 to $80 \%$ of the cost of the total software development is for software testing process [30]. Software testing based on combinatorial objects implies that most failures in the software are caused by interaction between few parameters.

In 2009 Kuhn et al. [49] showed the relation among the detection of software faults and the strength of the CA used to do the tests, which is the number of parameters involved in an interaction. Others studies in [31, 32, 33] pointed out that when the strength of the CA is from 4 to 6 , the fault detection rate reaches $100 \%$.

### 1.5 Thesis problem

### 1.5.1 Problem statement

Given the parameters $t, k$, and $v$; the CACP consists in the construction of a $\mathrm{CA}(N ; t, k, v)$ where the number of rows $N$ is minimal. The proposed representation of the CA characteristics has its own problem statement and is discussed in the Chapter 3 .

### 1.5.2 Hypothesis

It is possible to construct CAs of strength $t=2$ in the graph domain that match or reduce the number of rows of the best reported CAs.

### 1.5.3 Main objective

Constructing CAs of strength $(t=2)$ in the graph domain one row at a time with equal or lesser number of rows than those reported by the state-of-the-art algorithms.

### 1.5.4 Specific objectives

Three specific objectives are stated in order to fulfill the main objective.

1 Development of an algorithm to map a CA to the graph domain and to transform the obtained solution in the graph domain to a CA.

2 Development of algorithms to solve the CACP in the graph domain.

3 Development of a post-optimization algorithm to improve the quality of previously constructed solutions.

### 1.6 Thesis contents

The thesis document is organized in six chapters; the following is a brief summary of each chapter content:

- Chapter 1: Introduction. The first chapter describes practical applications of the covering arrays, the benefits of using combinatorial testing and the problem statement of this thesis.
- Chapter 2: State of the art of the construction of covering arrays. This chapter presents several methods already developed to solve CACP. These methods are classified in: exact, greedy, metaheuristic, and algebraic. It also presents algorithms to post-optimize covering arrays.
- Chapter 3: A graph representation for covering arrays. This chapter describes the mapping of a covering array instance to a graph, so the equivalent problem can be solved in the graph domain.
- Chapter 4: Methodology to solve the CACP in the graph domain. This chapter presents the methodology followed to solve the graph-based problem to construct a covering array and the algorithms developed to perform the methodology.
- Chapter 5: Experimentation and results. This chapter describes the computational experimentation done and the relevant results obtained from the developed approaches of solution.
- Chapter 6: Conclusions and future works. The last chapter presents a summary of the contributions of this thesis and some topics for future works.


### 1.7 Summary

This chapter introduced the thesis generals and the definition of a covering array, described the practical applications of covering arrays in the hardware and software testing and the benefits of using a graph representation for the construction of covering arrays. Also is presented an study that analyzes the impact of testing inside the complete software development process. The next chapter will present the state-of-the-art of methods that construct covering arrays.

# State of the art of the construction of covering 

## arrays

In this chapter we present distinct state-of-the-art approaches to solve the CACP. These approaches are grouped by the construction process used: exact methods (Section 2.1), greedy algorithms (Section 2.2), metaheuristic algorithms (Section 2.3), algebraic methods (Section 2.4), and postoptimization methods (Section 2.5). The last subsection reviews problems related to CAs that are confronted using some graph representation.

In the Figure 2.1. we provide a brief summary of the construction methods, the following sections will explain in detail examples of construction of the methods that are presented in these figure.


Figure 2.1: Summary of construction methods for covering arrays.

### 2.1 Exact methods to construct CAs

Exact methods have the characteristic that given the values $t, k$, and $v$ they construct a $\mathrm{CA}(N ; t, k, v)$ where the number of rows $N$ is minimal. Despite the use of strategies to speed up the construction process, they result practical only for constructing small covering arrays, the cases where $v \leq 6$, $k \leq 10$, and $t=2$ are the only ones that result practical [29].

### 2.1.1 Integer programming

In 2002, Williams and Probert [65] presented a formulation of CACP using $\{0,1\}$ integer programming. A vector $x$ represents the set of all possible rows or configurations, which are $|x|=v^{k}$; a vector $y$ represents all the interactions required, which are $|y|=\binom{k}{t} v^{t}$; and a matrix $A=a_{i j}$ of size $|y| \times|x|$ to identify which rows cover each one of all interactions, $a_{i j}=1$ when the interaction $i$ is covered by the row $j$, otherwise $a_{i, j}=0$. The coverage is mapped into a set of $|y|$ constraints of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{|x|} a_{i, j} x_{j} \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq|y| . x_{j}=1$ when the row $j$ is taken for the solution, otherwise $x_{j}=0$. So, the complete formulation consists in: minimize $\sum_{j=1}^{|x|} x_{j}$ subject to the constraints $\sum_{j=1}^{|x|} a_{i, j} x_{j} \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq|y|$. This formulation allows a linear programming solver to construct optimal covering arrays but only works for small cases of $t, k$, and $v$, where the number of variables is less than 100 .

### 2.1.2 The automatic generator EXACT

In 2006, Yan and Zhang [67] introduced the exhaustive search of combinatorial test suites generator (EXACT). This generator assigns values to elements of a CA whenever it is possible and backtracks when is detected that it is not possible to fulfill the CA avoiding the exploration of isomorphic solutions by considering only the arrays lexicographically sorted in rows and columns.

### 2.1.3 Constraint programming

Later on, Hnich et al. [3] proposed 4 matrix models of constraint programming to solve CACP: naive matrix model, alternative matrix model, integrated matrix model, and weakened matrix model. The naive matrix model consists of a matrix $X$ of dimensions $N \times k$ with entries $x_{r, i}, 1 \leq r \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $x_{r, i}=m$ if the value of parameter $i$ in test vector $r$ is $m$. The alternative matrix model of size $N \times\binom{ k}{t}$ of compounds variables $y_{r, j}$ where each variable represents a tuple $\left(x_{r, l_{1}}, x_{r, l_{2}}, \ldots, x_{r, l_{t}}\right)$ of the naive matrix model. The integrated matrix model links the previous models by channeling constraints that associate compounds variables of the alternative matrix model with their $t$ corresponding variables in the naive matrix model. The weakened matrix model is a satisfiability (SAT) encoding with several constraints omitted.

### 2.1.4 SAT encodings

For $t=2$, Lopez-Escogido et al. [38] proposed a codification for SAT problem to map CACP to this domain and take advantage of existing SAT local search methods. For each element $m_{i, j}$ of matrix $M$ associated with an instance, $v$ variables are introduced $m_{i, j, x}, 0 \leq x<v$. The model of transformation uses two sets of clauses in conjunction ( $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ ) to ensure that each element in $M$ takes exactly one value of the set $\{0,1, \ldots, v-1\}$ and uses another set of clauses $\left(z_{3}\right)$ non-conjunctives to ensure that interactions of strength 2 are fulfilled.

$$
\begin{gather*}
z_{1}=\bigwedge_{i=0}^{N-1}\left(\bigvee_{\forall j, x \mid 0 \leq j<k, 0 \leq x<v} m_{i j x}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
z_{2}=\bigwedge_{i=0}^{N-1}\left(\bigvee_{\forall j, x, y \mid 0 \leq j<k, 0 \leq x<y<v}\left(\overline{m_{i, j, x}} \vee \overline{m_{i, j, y}}\right)\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
z_{2}=\bigwedge_{i=0}^{N-1}\left(\bigwedge_{\forall j, l \mid 0 \leq j<l<k}\left(\bigvee_{\forall i \mid 0 \leq i<N}\left(m_{i, j, x} \vee m_{i, l, y}\right)\right)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.1.5 Backtracking algorithm for binary CAs

Later on, Bracho-Rios et al. [5] introduced a branch and bound algorithm to construct binary covering arrays of variable strength. This algorithm constructs one column at a time using all possible columns with $\left\lfloor\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor$ zeros. If the new column forms a partial CA and is bigger than the previous column then it is inserted, otherwise, it tries the next element. Whenever it is no longer possible to insert a new column the algorithm backtracks to a previous step. They also provide two techniques for improving the search efficiency: symmetry breaking and partial verification.

### 2.1.6 Non-isomorphic CAs

In 2016, Torres-Jimenez et al. [58] developed the construction of all the non-isomorphic CAs for values $N, t, k$, and $v$. A CA $B$ is considered isomorphic to a CA $A$ if it is constructed by a combination of row permutation, a column permutation, and a symbol permutation of a subset of columns of $A$. This construction extends a subarray from 0 to $k$ columns, one column at a time, validating that the new column is a CA with minimum lexicographical order with the previous columns. Whenever the process reaches $k$ columns it reports a new non-isomorphic CA that is optimal.

### 2.2 Greedy methods to construct CAs

Where combinations of values $t, k, v$ are impractical for exact methods, CACP could be solved by a greedy method producing a reasonable solution in short time.

### 2.2.1 The AETG system

In 1994, Cohen et al. [14] presented a test case generator with variables defined by the user known as automatic efficient test generator (AETG). This method starts with an empty test case and generates one test at a time until all interactions of size $t$ are covered. A test case is generated
through probabilistic method, building a row that has a higher probability to cover the largest amount of missing tuples taking into account the current covered tuples. The authors say that this method can construct CA and MCA but only for strength $t=2,3$.

### 2.2.2 Deterministic density algorithm

In 2007, Bryce and Colbourn [6] introduced deterministic density algorithm (DDA), a test case generator for strength $(t=2)$ that builds one row at a time. Values of a row are dynamically fixed one at a time in an order based on density. New rows are continually added until all interactions have been covered. DDA fixes one value for each factor and updates the densities for those factors to construct a test case.

The factor to be fixed is the one with the largest density among the non-fixed factors. The density $\delta_{i, j}$ for factors $i$ and $j$ is computed as follows: a) if both factors have more than one level $\delta_{i, j}=\left(r_{i, j} / l_{\text {max }}^{2}\right)^{2}$, where $l_{\text {max }}$ is the largest cardinality of the two factors, and $r_{i, j}$ is the number of missing interactions among factors $i$ and $j$; b) if only one factor has one level left $\delta_{i, j}=\left(r_{i, j} / l_{\text {max }}\right)^{2}$; c) if both factors have exactly one level and a new pair is covered $\delta_{i, j}=1.0$; d) If both factors have exactly one level and no new pair is covered $\delta_{i, j}=0$. The density of the factor $i$ is the summation of the local densities over each factor $j \neq i$. After the selection of a factor, DDA assigns a level to it; this level is the one with the higher level density. These level densities are computed for a specific level $v_{i}$ to an individual factor $k_{j}$ as follows: a) if $k_{j}$ has more than one level involved in uncovered pairs with $\left.v_{i}, \delta=\left(r_{i, j} / l_{\max }\right) ; \mathbf{b}\right)$ if $k_{j}$ has only one level involved in uncovered pairs and a new pair is covered, $\delta=1.0 ; \mathrm{c}$ ) if $k_{j}$ has only one level involved in uncovered pairs an no new pairs are covered, $\delta=0$. If there exists a tie in fixing factors or levels the most simple tie-breaking rule is to choose the smaller factor or level in lexicographic order.

### 2.2.3 In-Parameter-Order algorithm

From 2006 to 2008, Lei and Tai [35] developed In-Parameter-Order (IPO) algorithm to construct all the interactions of size $t$ of the $k$ available factors. The main feature of this construction is that the CA performs two types of expansion: expansion in rows; and expansion in columns, until the CA reaches the number of desired columns. Two variants of IPO algorithm were developed: in 2007 Lei et al. [34] proposed IPOG, a version with a generalization for higher strengths; and Forbes et al. [15] proposed an improvement for IPO. This three algorithms share the strategy of using a CA of $k-1$ factors to build a CA with $k$ factors. This recursion starts with the listing of $t$-tuples of the set $\{0, \ldots, v-1\}$ for $k=t$ and keep adding one column at a time. To add factors, two types of growth exist:

- Horizontal growth. An additional column is added corresponding to the new factor. The cells of the new column are filled in a way that new tuples of the expanded CA are covered.
- Vertical growth. New rows are added to the matrix to cover the missing tuples after the horizontal growth.

In the horizontal growth the values for the new column are filled bottom-up and the value chosen for every cell is the one that covers the largest amount of missing tuples. The vertical growth performs the insertion of missing interactions in existent rows, if it is not possible to add a missing interaction in an existent row, a new row is inserted with the current missing interaction.

### 2.2.4 Intersection residual pair set strategy

In 2008, Younis et al. [68] presented the Intersection Residual Pair Set Strategy (IRPS), a greedy algorithm to generate MCAs and CAs of strength $t=2$. For a test set with $k$ factors, a PI list contains $k-1$ linked lists, where the $i$-th linked list contains nodes equal to the number of values defined for the $i$ factor and for each of them an array of linked lists that represents the interactions
among the $i$ factor with the $i<j<k$ remaining factors combined with the number of values defined for each one of the $j$ factors. The last factor does not have linked lists. To generate a test case the authors define the weight as the interactions covered by a test case and wmax as the maximum interactions covered by a test case ( $\max =k(k-1) / 2$ at the beginning of the test generation).

The algorithm searches for a test case that cover wmax interactions, if this case does not exist wmax is decremented. To perform the searching of such that case, IRPS begin the search in the nodes of the first factor that still has nodes and computes the interactions covered by a recursive intersection of the available elements of it linked list down to the $k-1$ factor that only have nodes.

This process is repeated until the $P I$ list is empty.

### 2.2.5 Coverage inheritance

In 2011, Calvagna and Gargantini [8] presented a greedy algorithm to generate MCAs of any strength. This algorithm adds one new column at a time until all needed columns are covered, and it is based on coverage inheritance. When $t<k$, the algorithm starts with an MCA of strength $t$ for $t$ parameters by listing all the combinations of the first $t$ parameters (parameters must be in descending order). If $v_{i}$ is the alphabet for parameter $i$, then $v_{i} \geq v_{j}$ for all $i \leq j$. To append the missing $k-t$ parameters a column of the CA is copied, and all the elements that are not in range for the alphabet of the new columns are settled to null to indicate that they can be reassigned with another value. Since the new column denoted as $j$ is a copy of a previous one $i$, all the interactions of size $t$ are covered except the ones where $i$ and $j$ are involved, this coverage guarantee is known as coverage inheritance. The null elements are used to cover the missing interactions. New rows are added to fulfill the coverage when the fixing of values for the null elements are not enough to cover the missing interactions.

### 2.3 Metaheuristic methods to construct CAs

Metaheuristic methods cannot guarantee to find the minimum number of rows for a CA construction, but the obtained results through these methods have been very competitive. As the parameters $t, k, v$ grow, the greedy methods begin to fall off in their solution's quality and the exact algorithms requires an endlessly amount of time to construct an optimal solution. That gives the opportunity to the metaheuristic algorithms to fill this gap.

### 2.3.1 Genetic algorithms

One of the first techniques used were the genetic algorithms (GA) [19], proposed by Holland in 1975, GA simulates the biological evolution of a population through mutations, recombination and individual selection.

In 2001, Stardom [55] presented a GA for CACP. He uses a matrix representation where the recombination is performed selecting a set $\varepsilon$ of coordinates of the father and the remaining of the second father. The coordinates in the set $\varepsilon$ are constituted by the first $i$ rows, the first $j$ columns or a block of the union of $i$ and $j$. Mutation is applied after recombination and consists in the change of an element of the matrix randomly.

In 2004 Shiba et al. [53] proposed a GA that is a modification of AETG [14]. In this algorithm, every chromosome represents a test case and is evaluated according to the new tuples that provide missing interactions. An initial population of $m$ individuals is randomly generated and use a tournament selection. The mutation replaces one element of the chromosome for a random value of the alphabet.

### 2.3.2 Tabu search

Tabu search (TS) is a technique proposed by Glover [16]. TS uses a list of last changes to avoid returning to neighborhoods recently explored, which prevents the search from being trapped in local optima.

In 2004, Nurmela [45] used TS to construct CAs. TS starts with a random matrix and using missing tuples it forms a valid CA as the objective function. TS selects missing tuples and puts them in one row that needs just one modification to generate the tuple. The selected row to perform the action is the row that produces the less cost; otherwise, it is randomly selected.

### 2.3.3 Simulated annealing

Simulated Annealing (SA) algorithms simulate the slow cooling process of metals [26]. The implementation of SA requires at least three parameters: initial temperature $T_{0}$, final temperature $T_{f}$ and the cooling rate $\alpha(0<\alpha<1)$.

In 2008, Covarrubias-Flores [13] proposed in her Ms thesis an SA to construct CAs of several strengths and $v=2$. An initial solution $M$ is generated randomly balancing a number of 0 s and 1 s . The evaluation function is the number of missing tuples of matrix $M$.

Later on, in 2010 Martinez-Pena et al. [42], presented an SA for CAs construction with $v=3$ using trinomial coefficients (TC). The initial solution $A$ is built selecting $t+k$ trinomial coefficients.

In 2010, Torres-Jimenez et al. [63] presented a SA to construct binary covering arrays of variable strength. The algorithm incorporates a heuristic to generate good quality initial solutions and a compound neighborhood that combines two neighborhood functions. This algorithm improves 22 upper bounds.

Afterwards, Avila-George et al. [1, 2] presented several parallel implementations of SA denominated independent search, semi-independent search and cooperative search. Independent search performs multiple executions of an SA using the same initial solution and selecting the best
solution of all the executions. Semi-independent search divides the Markov chains in a balanced way, the processors interchange intermediate solutions and perform the search separately. Cooperative search keeps a best so far solution that is updated whenever a processor ends its own Markov chain, every processor continue without waiting updates of the best so far, so when the process continues after an ending Markov chain, the processors could start with different initial solutions and avoid local optima.

### 2.3.4 Particle swarm optimization

Another technique used is the particle swarm optimization (PSO) proposed by Kennedy and Eberhart [24] which simulates the movement of a bird flock (swarm) with two vectors that represent velocity and position of each particle of the swarm.

In 2015, Wu et al. [66] presented several extended (PSO) algorithms to construct CAs, a setbased PSO and a discrete PSO (DPSO). Two auxiliary strategies to enhance the performance of PSO were presented: additional evaluation of the global best and a particle reinitialization. A comparative of PSO variants is also presented showing the strengths and weaknesses of the proposed variants of PSO.

Also in 2015, Mahmoud et al. [40] presented a fuzzy self-adaptive PSO that integrates a Mamdani-type fuzzy inference system (FIS) to adapt the controllers of the PSO performance. Three different FISs are built to monitor PSO performance and adjust the parameters for improving the efficiency of the algorithm.

### 2.4 Algebraic methods to construct CAs

The algebraic methods have the characteristic that during the construction several formulas, mathematical operations, and mathematical objects (such as vectors, finite fields, or CAs) are used to construct CAs.

### 2.4.1 Orthogonal arrays

In 1952, Bush [7] introduced a construction method for orthogonal arrays. An orthogonal array is denoted by $O A\left(v^{t} ; t, v+1, v\right)$, and exists when $v$ is a prime power number where $\alpha$ denotes the power. This construction uses the elements of the Galois fields $G F\left(v^{\alpha}\right)$. If we denote each element in $G F\left(v^{\alpha}\right)$ as $e_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq v-1$, the Bush construction performs in this way:

1. Generate a matrix $M$ with $\left(v^{\alpha}\right)^{t}$ rows and $\left(v^{\alpha}\right)+1$ columns.
2. Label the first $v$ columns of $M$ with the elements $e_{i} \in G F\left(v^{\alpha}\right)$.
3. Label each row of $M$ with a polynomial $y_{j}(x)$.
4. Each value of a cell $m_{j i} \in M$ where $0 \leq j \leq\left(v^{\alpha}\right)^{t}-1$ and $0 \leq i \leq\left(v^{\alpha}\right)-1$ is the polynomial evaluation of $y_{j}\left(e_{i}\right)$ using the Galois field $G F\left(v^{\alpha}\right)$.
5. Each value of a cell $m_{j i} \in M$ where $0 \leq j \leq\left(v^{\alpha}\right)^{t}-1$ and $i=\left(v^{\alpha}\right)$ is the coefficient of higher degree of the polynomial $y_{j}(x)$, in other words the term $a_{t-1}$ of $y_{j}(x)$.

For the evaluation of polynomials in Galois fields, Torres-Jimenez et al. [61] proposed a method to multiply polynomials using additions and subtractions of the logarithm and antilogarithm discrete tables of $G F(v)$. The multiplication is reduced to an access to a table and a simple addition.

For example, for $v=3^{1}$ and $t=2$ the Bush construction allow us to build the $\operatorname{OA}(9 ; 2,4,3)$, the field $G F\left(3^{1}\right)$ is formed by the elements 0,1 , and 2 , which are the $3^{1}$ different polynomials of $t=2$ and degree $t-1$, and will be denoted as $e_{0}, e_{1}$, and $e_{2}$ respectively. The polynomials $y_{i}$ labels the rows and since $t=2$, they are of the form $y_{i}=a_{1} x+a_{0}$, where $a_{1}, a_{0} \in G F\left(3^{1}\right)$. The labeling for the rows, the labeling for the columns and the OA itself are shown in Figure 2.2.

|  | $e_{0}$ | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $\infty$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{0}(x)=e_{0} x+e_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $y_{1}(x)=e_{0} x+e_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $y_{2}(x)=e_{0} x+e_{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| $y_{3}(x)=e_{1} x+e_{0}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $y_{4}(x)=e_{1} x+e_{1}$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| $y_{5}(x)=e_{1} x+e_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $y_{6}(x)=e_{2} x+e_{0}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| $y_{7}(x)=e_{2} x+e_{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| $y_{8}(x)=e_{2} x+e_{2}$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

Figure 2.2: OA produced by the Bush construction for $v=3$ and $t=2$.

### 2.4.2 Case $t=2$ and $v=2$

For CAs with parameters $t=v=2$, it exists an algorithm that given a number of rows $N$ constructs a CA $(N ; 2, k, 2)$ for a maximum possible value of $k$ in polynomial time. This algorithm was proposed by Renyi for a pair number $N$, and generalized by Katona [23] and Spencer and Kleitman [28] for any $N$. Optimal number of columns is

$$
k=\binom{N-1}{\lceil N / 2\rceil}
$$

To construct the CA a matrix $N \times k$ is needed where the first row is filled with 0 s and the remaining $N-1$ rows with $\binom{N-1}{\lceil N / 2\rceil}$ combinations of $\lceil N / 2\rceil 1 \mathrm{~s}$ and $N-1-\lceil N / 2\rceil$ 0s. Figure 2.3 shows a CA developed by this construction where the optimal $k$ for $N=6$ is 10 .

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 2.3: The $\mathrm{CA}(6 ; 2,10,2)$ produced by the Katona, Spencer and Kleitman construction for $k=10$.

### 2.4.3 Constant weight vectors

In 1983, Tang and Woo [56] presented a method of construction based in constant weight vectors. The weight of a vector $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ is defined as the summation of its inputs $w=\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}$. This method constructs a CA, concatenating a set of vectors with the same weight. For a binary case the construction is defined as: given $k$ and $t$ with $k>t$, a set of $T$ binary vectors covers all the interactions of size $t$ if $T$ contains all the binary vectors of size $w$ such that $w \equiv c(\bmod k-t+1)$ for a constant $c \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-t\}$.

### 2.4.4 Roux-type constructions

In the PhD thesis of Roux [50] is introduced the Roux type construction derived of the expression

$$
C A N(3,2 k, 2) \leq C A N(3, k, 2)+C A N(2, k, 2)
$$

that indicates that a CA could be extended doubling the number of columns using two CAs $A$ and $B$. Given $A=\mathrm{CA}\left(N_{3} ; 3, k, 2\right)$ and $B=\mathrm{CA}\left(N_{2} ; 3,2 k, 2\right)$. They are used to form a CA $C=\mathrm{CA}\left(N_{3}+N_{2} ; 3,2 k, 2\right)$, using the complement of $B$ as follows:

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & A \\
B & \bar{B}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Later on, Chateauneuf et al. [9], proposed three generalizations of Roux's type construction. The first two constructions require a combinatorial object called ordered design, denoted as $\operatorname{OD}(t, k, v)$ that represents a matrix of dimensions $\binom{v}{t} t!\times k$ with $v$ symbols where every $t$ distinct columns has each $t$-tuple exactly once. Three constructions could be summarized in these expressions:

- For $v \geq 3, C A N(2,3 k, v) \leq C A N(2, k, v)+v(v-1)$.
- If an $\mathrm{OD}(2, m, u)$ exists, then for each $v \leq u$ and $2 \leq k \leq m$, stands that $C A N(2, m(m-1) k, v) \leq C A N(2, k, v)+2 u(u-1)$.
- $C A N(3,2 k, v) \leq C A N(2, k, v)+(v-1) C A N(2, k, v)$.


### 2.4.5 Power of a covering array

In 2004, Hartman [18] proposed the power of a CA, this construction consists in squaring the number of columns $k$ of a CA $A=\mathrm{CA}(N ; t, k, v)$. To complete the powering, an OA $B=$ $\mathrm{OA}\left(k^{2} ; 2, T(v, t)+1, k\right)$ is required. The alphabet of the OA is $k$ because its elements will be used as an index for the columns of $\mathrm{A} . T(v, t)$ denotes the Turán number that is the maximum number of edges in a $v$-partite graph with $t$ nodes. The powering consists in creating the matrix of blocks $C$ of $k^{2}$ columns and $T(v, t)+1$ rows. Each element of $C$ contains one column of $A$. Let be $B[i, j]$ the entry $(i, j)$ of B , and let be $A_{i}$ the $i$-th column of $A$. Cell $(i, j)$ of $C$ is the column $B[i, j]$ of A . The result is $C=\mathrm{CA}\left(N(T(v, t)+1) ; t, k^{2}, v\right)$.

### 2.4.6 Product of covering arrays of stregth two

In 2006, Colbourn et al. [12] presented the product of CAs of strength two, let $A$ be a matrix representing a $C A(N ; 2, k, v)$ and let $B$ be a second matrix representing a $C A(M ; 2, l, v)$. The product of $A$ and $B$ is the matrix $C=\left(c_{i, j}\right)$ of dimensions $(N+M) \times k l$ such tat:

- $c_{i,(f-1) k+g}=a_{i, g}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq f \leq l, 1 \leq g \leq k$.
- $c_{N+i,(f-1) k+g}=a_{i, g}$ for $1 \leq i \leq M, 1 \leq f \leq l, 1 \leq g \leq k$.

The construction is shown in the following matrix:

| $N$ rows | $a_{11}$ | $a_{12}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{1 k}$ | $a_{11}$ | $a_{12}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{1 k}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{11}$ | $a_{12}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{1 k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $a_{21}$ | $a_{22}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{2 k}$ | $a_{21}$ | $a_{22}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{2 k}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{21}$ | $a_{22}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{2 k}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\vdots$ |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $a_{N 1}$ | $a_{N 2}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{N k}$ | $a_{N 1}$ | $a_{N 2}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{N k}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{N 1}$ | $a_{N 2}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{N k}$ |
|  | $b_{11}$ | $b_{11}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{11}$ | $b_{12}$ | $b_{12}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{12}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{1 l}$ | $b_{1 l}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{1 l}$ |
| $b_{21}$ | $b_{21}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{21}$ | $b_{22}$ | $b_{22}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{22}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{2 l}$ | $b_{2 l}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{2 l}$ |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  | $\vdots$ |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $b_{M 1}$ | $b_{M 1}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{M 1}$ | $b_{M 2}$ | $b_{M 2}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{22}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{M l}$ | $b_{M l}$ | $\cdots$ | $b_{M l}$ |

### 2.4.7 Cyclotomy

In 2009, Colbourn [11] presented the cyclotomic construction derived from the analysis of cyclotomic matrices. Let $\omega$ be a primitive element of $G F(q)$ with $q \equiv 1(\bmod v)$, the prime power selected for a finite field must have remainder 1 when divided by $v$. For any $q$ and $\omega$ is possible to form a cyclotomic vector $x_{q, d, w}=\left(x_{i}: i \in G F(q)\right) \in G F(q)^{q}$. Let $x_{0}=0$ and $x_{i}=j(\bmod v)$ where $i=\omega^{j}$ for $i \in G F(q)^{q}$. The value of index $i$ is given by the primitive element raised to the $j$ th power using $G F(q)$. For example using the discrete logarithm table of $G F(9)\{8,4,1,2,7,5,3,6\}$, and using the remainder for $v=2$ we get the vector $x_{9,2}=(000101110)$, and rotating the vector we obtain a matrix of $9 \times 9$ which is a $\mathrm{CA}(9 ; 2,9,2)$ shown in Figure 2.4 .

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Figure 2.4: The $\mathrm{CA}(9 ; 2,9,2)$ produced by the cyclotomic construction.

### 2.4.8 Construction using groups

The construction using groups were introduced by Chateauneuf et al. [9] for strength three And adapted by Meagher and Stevens [43] for strength. Meagher et al. produce a $C A(k(v-1)+1 ; 2, k, v)$ by means of a group $G<S y m_{v}$ and a starter vector $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{v}^{k}$. Given the group $G$ find a starter vector $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{v}^{k}$ where $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}$ defined as $d_{i}=\left\{\left(s_{j}, s_{j+1}\right) \mid j=0,1, \ldots, k-1\right\}$ with indices $j$ modulo $k$, have at least one element from every orbit of the group action of $G$ on pairs of $\mathbb{Z}_{v}=\{0,1, \ldots, v-1\}$. The starter vector $s$ is used to form a matrix $M$ of size $k \times k$ by juxtaposing vertically the rotations of $s$. After that, the group action $G$ over $M$ produce $v-1$ matrices of $k \times k$; these matrices and a constant vector of 0s form the CA. This two methods use a group of symbols of order $v-1$ where $v$ is the alphabet and use one fixed symbol.

Later on, Lobb et al. [37] included fixing more than one symbol, the amount of fixed symbols is denoted by $f \in\{0,1,2\}$ and allows that the group of symbols be of order $v-f$. Let $C$ be a finite group of size $k$ and $\Gamma=(C, \odot)$ also a finite group, for each $c \in C$ let $i n v_{\Gamma}(c)$ be the inverse of $c$. Let $\Gamma$ have identity element $e_{\Gamma}$. Let $C_{0}, \ldots, C_{f-1}$ satisfy $C_{i} \cap C_{j}=\emptyset$ for $0 \leq i<j<f$. Let $\bar{C}=C \backslash\left\{C_{0} \bigcup \ldots \bigcup C_{f-1}\right\}$. Then $\left(C_{0}, \ldots, C_{f-1}\right)$ is a $(k, f)$-pattern for $\Gamma$ if $\left\{\operatorname{inv}_{\Gamma}(a) \odot b: a \in C_{i}, v \in \bar{C}\right\}=C \backslash\left\{e_{r}\right\}$ for each $0 \leq i<f$.

Suppose that $\left(C_{0}, \ldots, C_{f-1}\right)$ is a $(k, f)$-pattern for $\Gamma$ with $\bar{C}=C \backslash\left\{C_{0} \bigcup \ldots \bigcup C_{f-1}\right\}$. Let $\Lambda=(V, \otimes)$ be a finite group of order $v-f$. A $(v$ - $f)$-lift of a $(k, f)$-pattern is a relationship $\phi$ $\bar{C} \mapsto V$, so for each $\gamma \in C-e_{\Gamma}, \operatorname{inv}_{\Lambda}(\phi(a)) \otimes \phi(b): a, b \in \bar{C}, i n v \Gamma(a) \odot b=\gamma=V$.

When a set of fixed columns $\left(C_{0}, \ldots, C_{f-1}\right)$ is a $(k, f)$-pattern for $\Gamma=(C, \odot)$ having a $(v-f)$ lift mapped by $\phi \bar{C} \mapsto V$ over $\Lambda=(V, \otimes)$,then $\left(\left(C_{0}, \ldots, C_{f-1}\right), \phi\right)$ is a $(k, v, f)$-starter vector of $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$.

Lobb et al. derived a lemma that say if a $(k, v, f)$-starter vector exists, then a matrix of $k(v-f) \times k$ on $v$ symbols exist. $V \bigcup\left\{\infty_{0}, \ldots, \infty_{f-1}\right\}$ so that for every two distinct columns $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ and every two elements $a, b \in V \bigcup\left\{\infty_{0}, \ldots, \infty_{f-1}\right\}$ there exists a row $r$ in which the entry in cell $(r, c)$ is $a$
and in the cell $\left(r, c^{\prime}\right)$ is $b$, unless $a$ and $b \in\left\{\infty_{0}, \ldots, \infty_{f-1}\right\}$.
Another case of interest is the $(k, v, w, f)$-relative cover starter, let $\mu=0$ when $w=0$ and $\mu=\frac{v-f}{w}$ when $w>0$, if this vector exists:

1. $C A N(2, k, v) \leq k(v-f)+\mu C A N(2, k, w)+C A N(2, k, f)$

For this case concatenating a CA of alphabet $f$ plus the cyclic construction using the starter vector creates a valid CA when $w=0$, but when $w>0$ is necessary to add $\mu$ copies of a CA with alphabet $w$.
2. If the relative cover starter is distinct,
(a) $\operatorname{MCAN}\left(2,(v)^{k}(v-f+\alpha)\right) \leq k(v-f)+\mu \operatorname{MCAN}\left(2, w^{k} a^{1}\right)$ for $0 \leq a \leq f$.
(b) If $w \leq f=1, \operatorname{CAN}(2, k+1, v) \leq(k+1)(c-1)+1$ particular case of previous incise when $a=1$.

### 2.4.9 Permutation vectors

In 2006, Sherwood et al. [52] introduced permutation vectors, a compact representation of a CA based in one vector. When $v$ is prime power, a covering perfect hash family is denoted by $\operatorname{CPHF}\left(n ; k, v^{t-1}, t\right)$ and is a matrix of $n \times k$ of $v^{t-1}$ symbols in which every $n \times t$ matrix contains at least one row that is covering. The $v^{t-1}$ symbols in a CPHF could be seen as a $(t-1)$-tuple of $v$ symbols, this tuple represents a permutation vector of length $v^{t}$ over the finite fields $G F(v)$. Given the $(t-1)$-tuple $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{t-1}\right)$ with $h_{j} \in\{0,1, \ldots, v-1\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t-1$, a permutation vector $\left(\overrightarrow{h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{t-1}}\right)$ of length $v^{t}$ have the symbol $\left(h_{t-1} \cdot \beta_{t-1}^{(i)}\right)+\ldots+\left(h_{2} \cdot \beta_{2}^{(i)}\right)+\left(h_{1} \cdot \beta_{1}^{(i)}\right)+\beta_{1}^{(i)}$ on position $i$ where $i$ is represented in base $v$ as $i=\sum_{k}^{(i)} v^{k} \cdot \beta_{k}^{(i)}$.

To determine if a set of permutation vectors are covering, consider the next $t$ permutation vectors:

$$
\left(\left(h_{1}^{(1)}, h_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, h_{t-1}^{(1)}\right),\left(h_{1}^{(2)}, h_{2}^{(2)}, \ldots, h_{t-1}^{(2)}\right), \ldots,\left(h_{1}^{(t)}, h_{2}^{(t)}, \ldots, h_{t-1}^{(t)}\right)\right)
$$

This set is covering if the expansion to a matrix of size $v^{t} \times t$ is an $\mathrm{OA}(t, t, v)$. To verify this condition is necessary that the matrix contains at least one of the $t$-tuples is repeated. Then a set of permutation vectors is not covering iff exist $i, j \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, v^{t}-1\right\} i \neq j$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{0}^{(i)}+\left(h_{1}^{(1)} \cdot \beta_{1}^{(i)}\right)+\ldots+\left(h_{t-1}^{(1)} \cdot \beta_{t-1}^{(i)}\right)= \beta_{0}^{(j)}+\left(h_{1}^{(1)} \cdot \beta_{1}^{(j)}\right)+\ldots+\left(h_{t-1}^{(1)} \cdot \beta_{t-1}^{(j)}\right) \\
& \beta_{0}^{(i)}+\left(h_{1}^{(2)} \cdot \beta_{1}^{(i)}\right)+\ldots+\left(h_{t-1}^{(2)} \cdot \beta_{t-1}^{(i)}\right)= \beta_{0}^{(j)}+\left(h_{1}^{(2)} \cdot \beta_{1}^{(j)}\right)+\ldots+\left(h_{t-1}^{(2)} \cdot \beta_{t-1}^{(j)}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \beta_{0}^{(i)}+\left(h_{1}^{(t)} \cdot \beta_{1}^{(i)}\right)+\ldots+\left(h_{t-1}^{(t)} \cdot \beta_{t-1}^{(i)}\right)=\beta_{0}^{(j)}+\left(h_{1}^{(t)} \cdot \beta_{1}^{(j)}\right)+\ldots+\left(h_{t-1}^{(t)} \cdot \beta_{t-1}^{(j)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If we define $\alpha_{r}=\beta_{r}^{(i)}-\beta_{r}^{(j)}$ for $0 \leq r \leq t-1$ for certain values of $i$ and $j$, equations could be rearranged to:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{0}+\left(h_{1}^{(1)} \cdot \alpha_{1}\right)+\left(h_{2}^{(1)} \cdot \alpha_{2}\right)+\ldots+\left(h_{t-1}^{(1)} \cdot \alpha_{t-1}\right)=0 \\
\alpha_{0}+\left(h_{1}^{(2)} \cdot \alpha_{1}\right)+\left(h_{2}^{(2)} \cdot \alpha_{2}\right)+\ldots+\left(h_{t-1}^{(2)} \cdot \alpha_{t-1}\right)=0 \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{0}+\left(h_{1}^{(t)} \cdot \alpha_{1}\right)+\left(h_{2}^{(t)} \cdot \alpha_{2}\right)+\ldots+\left(h_{t-1}^{(t)} \cdot \alpha_{t-1}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

The approach used for constructing permutation vectors by Sherwood was exhaustive for $t=3,4$. Later on, Walker and Colbourn [64] used a tabu search algorithm, achieving solution for strengths $3,4,5,6,7$.

### 2.4.10 Towers of covering arrays

In 2013, Torres-Jimenez et al. [60] presented a method for construction of CAs denominated Towers of Covering Arrays (TCA). Defining the height $h$ of a CA as a succession of $h+1$ CAs $c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{h}$ where $c_{0}$ is the base CA and $1<i<h, c_{i}$ represents a CA with a strength superior in one unit of $c_{i-1}$. This methodology starts wit a covering array $C A(N ; t, k, v)$ denoted as $A$ to generate a $C A(N v, t+1, k+1, v)$ by juxtaposing $v$ vertical copies of $A$ and translating the $j$-th column of the
$i$-th copy adding a value $c$ and applying modulo $v$ to every value of the column. The column $k+1$ is constructed by adding $N 0$ s then $N 1$ s, and so on until adding $N(v-1)$ s. The obtained CA could be used as a base for a TCA of higher height.

### 2.4.11 Binomial coefficients

In 2015, Torres Jimenez et al. [59] presented the construction of covering arrays with $v=2$ using binomial coefficients. A binomial coefficient with parameters $k$ and $r$ represents the set of all the $k$-tuples from $\{0,1\}$ that have $r$ ones and $k-r$ zeroes. A branch and bound algorithm is presented to find the set of binomial coefficients that, when juxtaposed, provide a covering array $\mathrm{CA}(N ; t, k, 2)$ with the minimum possible number of rows. This construction finds optimal covering arrays for $k=t+1$ and $k=t+2$.

### 2.4.12 Trinomial coefficients

In 2010, Martínez-Pena et al. 41] [42] proposed the construction of CAs with $v=3$ based in a set of rows represented as trinomial coefficients. To calculate a trinomial coefficient let $a, b, c, k$ integers such that $0 \leq a, b, c \leq k$ and $k=a+b+c$.

$$
\binom{k}{a, b, c}=\frac{(a+b+c)!}{a!b!c!}
$$

[^0]
# 2.5 Post-optimization methods to reduce the number of rows of CAs 

Post-optimization methods improve the previously constructed CAs. Post-optimization methods receive a CA and try to reduce the number rows by exploiting that some interactions are covered more than once.

In 2013, Nayeri et al. [44] presented a randomized post-optimization algorithm. This algorithm uses flexible positions in the CA, a flexible position or wildcard is the one that has the possibility to be changed arbitrarily or omitted in the determination of the coverage, but the CA still fulfill all the interactions. When there are two or more flexible positions, the use of a flexible position may make other positions no longer flexible so, the flexibility of positions is extended to a flexible set. This strategy chooses a flexible set $F$ and places different symbols in each position of $F$; forming a new CA with a possibly different collection of flexible positions. In some cases, a flexible set contains an entire row which can be deleted and, therefore, a CA is improved by the deletion of a row.

Later on, in 2014 Li et al. [36] proposed a modification of Nayeri's algorithm, using a deterministic selection of the row to delete instead of doing the search among all the rows, avoiding the permutation of rows because the nominated row to be deleted is independent of the order. They also provide an estimator to predict the extent of when a CA can be improved.

### 2.6 CA related problems mapped to a graph representation

### 2.6.1 Minimization of constant rows in covering arrays

Quiz-Ramos in [48] used a graph representation to maximize the amount of constants rows in a CA, in this case each node represents a row of the CA and an edge exists between two nodes if the rows of the CA are compatible. Two rows $R, R^{\prime}$ are compatible if $R_{i} \neq R_{i}^{\prime}, 0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Maximization of constant rows is transformed into the problem of finding the maximum clique [39] of the graph generated. When a maximum clique is obtained the rows involved of the CA are replaced by constant rows of the alphabet values involved.

### 2.6.2 Covering arrays completion by the vertex coloring problem of a graph

Recently, in Sarkar's PhD dissertation [51] is shown a mapping of the missing interactions of a PCA to the graph domain that represent the incompatibility between interactions. The nodes of that graph represent each missing interaction and an edge exists between two nodes if their interactions cannot be covered in the same row. The problem to be solved in the graph domain is the vertex coloring problem, that is NP-complete [21] and is solved using a greedy approach. The better approximation of the chromatic number lowers the number of rows added to fulfill the coverage.

### 2.7 Summary

In this chapter we presented several relevant methods to construct covering arrays using the following representations: a matrix of integers, integer programming, constraint programming, a set of linked
lists, a set of binomial coefficients, a set of trinomial coefficients, a cyclotomic vector, a vector of integers, and permutation vectors; but none of them use a graph representation to directly solve CACP.

The presented approaches were classified in five categories: exact, greedy, metaheuristic, algebraic, and post-optimization. The exact methods produce optimal covering arrays, but they are practical only to construct covering arrays of small size given that the search space grows exponentially, and to ensure optimal results all the search space is explored. The greedy methods generate covering arrays of greater size than the exact methods in less time, these results are not optimal but offer competitive results in short time. Metaheuristic methods also do not guarantee to find optimal covering arrays, but provide better solutions than the greedy ones. Algebraic methods produce very good results, but in the process of constructing a covering array they involve formulas and operations with mathematical objects that in some cases are only available for a special combination of values for the parameters $t, k$, and $v$. The post-optimization methods attempt to reduce the number of rows of a covering array by exploiting the positions that can be changed arbitrarily, until all the positions of a row are disposable which means that this row can be deleted.

In the next chapter a graph representation to mapping the characteristics of a covering array will be presented. It also will be shown how the CACP is mapped to the graph domain.

## A graph representation for covering arrays

This chapter presents a novel representation that embeds the characteristics of a covering array into a graph. The mapping of a CA may vary according on how the graph embeds the characteristic of the CAs.

The following sections describe the representation denominated Covering in Nodes (CN), explain in detail the way the characteristics of a CA are mapped to a graph, and the equivalent problem in the graph domain of the CACP.

The proposed representation allows us to construct a CA with the minimum number of rows for some values of factors $(k)$ and alphabet $(v)$. It is remarkable that the proposed representation enables to construct a CA one row at a time.

### 3.1 Coverage in nodes

The proposed approach for CAN determination is denominated as Coverage in Nodes (CN) representation, this representation embeds in the nodes of a simple graph each interaction that must be present in a CA. Let $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a graph where $\mathcal{V}$ is the set of nodes and $\mathcal{E}$ the set of edges representing relationships between nodes. To embed the characteristics of a CA, each node represents a selection of $t$ of the $k$ factors with a value for each factor selected over the $\mathbb{Z}_{v}=\{0,1, \ldots, v-1\}$, in other words, an interaction.

The number of nodes of the corresponding graph is given in Theorem 1 and the set of nodes is described in Equation (3.1). The edge set $\mathcal{E}$ establishes the compatibility between nodes. Two nodes are compatible if there are no factors in common or if the values assigned for the factors in common are the same, this is shown in Definition 11. In other words, if there is a subset of columns represented in both nodes the values assigned for each column must be the same, and this operator of compatibility is denoted by the symbol $\Lambda$ in Equation (3.3). Theorem 2 shows the degree of each node and is the basis for the Theorem 3 that shows the number of elements in the set of edges of the graph $\mathcal{G}$ and the Equation (3.2) describes this edge set.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V}=\{ & \left(\alpha_{0} \beta_{0} \ldots \alpha_{i} \beta_{i} \ldots \alpha_{t-1} \beta_{t-1}\right) \mid(\forall i, j \in\{0, \ldots, k-1\} \wedge i<j)\left(\alpha_{i}<\alpha_{j}\right) \\
& \left.\wedge \alpha_{i} \in\{0, \ldots, k-1\} \wedge \beta_{i} \in\{0, \ldots, v-1\}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}= & \left\{\left(\alpha_{0} \beta_{0} \ldots \alpha_{i} \beta_{i} \ldots \alpha_{t-1} \beta_{t-1}\right),\left(\gamma_{0} \delta_{0} \ldots \gamma_{i} \delta_{i} \ldots \gamma_{t-1} \delta_{t-1}\right) \mid \alpha_{i}, \gamma_{i} \in\{0, \ldots, k-1\}\right. \\
& \left.\wedge \beta_{i}, \delta_{i} \in\{0, \ldots, v-1\} \wedge \Lambda\left(\left(\alpha_{0} \beta_{0} \ldots \alpha_{i} \beta_{i} \ldots \alpha_{t-1} \beta_{t-1}\right),\left(\gamma_{0} \delta_{0} \ldots \gamma_{i} \delta_{i} \ldots \gamma_{t-1} \delta_{t-1}\right)\right)=\text { true }\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Theorem 1

Given $t, k$, and $v$, a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ generated by the representation CN of this parameters, stands that $|\mathcal{V}|=v^{t}\binom{k}{t}$.

Proof. Since a node is the encoding of $t$ factors with its respective value over the set $\mathbb{Z}_{v}=\{0, \ldots, v-1\}$, there are $\binom{k}{t}$ ways to choose factors, and for every possible choice of factors there exists $v^{t}$ ways to fix their values, then $|\mathcal{V}|=v^{t}\binom{k}{t}$.

## Definition 11 (Compatibility)

Given $t, k$, and $v$, in the graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ generated by the representation CN of this parameters, two nodes $\left(\alpha_{0} \beta_{0} \ldots \alpha_{i} \beta_{i} \ldots \alpha_{t-1} \beta_{t-1}\right)$ and $\left(\gamma_{0} \delta_{0} \ldots \gamma_{i} \delta_{i} \ldots \gamma_{t-1} \delta_{t-1}\right)$ are compatible iff
$\forall p, q\left|\left(\alpha_{p}=\gamma_{q}\right) \Longrightarrow\left(\beta_{p}=\delta_{q}\right) \vee \forall p, q\right|\left(\alpha_{p} \neq \gamma_{q}\right)$ for $0<p, q<t-1$, this operation is denoted by letter $\Lambda$ in Equation (3.3).

## Theorem 2

Given $t, k$, and $v$, a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ generated by the representation CN with this parameters, stands that $\operatorname{deg}(\psi \in \mathcal{V})=\sum_{i=1}^{\min (t, k-t)}\binom{t}{i}\binom{k-t}{i} v^{i}$.

Proof. Using Definition 11 it is possible to determine the number of edges that involve a particular node. A node has subsets $S$ where $|S| \in\{1, \ldots, t\}$ representing the $|S|$ factors selected that can be replaced with another of the $k-t$ remaining factors. A set $S$ has $\binom{t}{|S|}$ different ways to be formed by using the $t$ factors of the node. Each set could be replaced in $\binom{k-t}{|S|}$ distinct ways and each replacement has $v^{|S|}$ possible configurations of values taken from the alphabet. In some cases where $k<2 t$ the amount of columns available to replace the $|S|$ selected are not enough, so it is only possible to replace $\min (t, k-t)$ columns. Then a node has $\operatorname{deg}(\psi \in \mathcal{V})=\sum_{i=1}^{\min (t, k-t)}\binom{t}{i}\binom{k-t}{i} v^{i}$.

## Theorem 3

Given $t, k$, and $v$, a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ generated by representation CN with this parameters, stands that $|\mathcal{E}|=\frac{1}{2} v^{t}\binom{k}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\min (t, k-t)}\binom{t}{i}\binom{k-t}{i} v^{i}$.

Proof. Each node in the graph $\mathcal{G}$ has the same degree, using Theorem 2 and the identity $2|\mathcal{E}|=\sum_{\forall v \in \mathcal{V}} \operatorname{deg}(v)$. Then $|\mathcal{E}|=\frac{1}{2} v^{t}\binom{k}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\min (t, k-t)}\binom{t}{i}\binom{k-t}{i} v^{i}$.

$$
\Lambda\left(\left(\alpha_{0} \beta_{0} \ldots \alpha_{i} \beta_{i} \ldots \alpha_{t-1} \beta_{t-1}\right),\left(\gamma_{0} \delta_{0} \ldots \gamma_{i} \delta_{i} \ldots \gamma_{t-1} \delta_{t-1}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\text { true } & \text { if } \forall p, q \mid\left(\alpha_{p}=\gamma_{q}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\beta_{p}=\delta_{q}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\ & \vee \forall p, q \mid\left(\alpha_{p} \neq \gamma_{q}\right) \\ & \text { for } 0<p, q<t-1 \\ \text { false } & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

There is an example of how a CA is mapped to the graph domain in Figure 3.1. corresponding graph for a CA with $k=3, t=2$ and $v=2$, it shows that the graph has 12 nodes and 24 edges.


Figure 3.1: The graph generated for parameters $t=2, k=3$, and $v=2$ by the coverage in nodes representation.

Since the nodes are equivalent to the interactions provided by a covering array and the set of edges represent the coverage compatibilities, every complete subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$ represents a set of nodes that are pairwise compatible, this means that a set of factors with their respective fixed value of the alphabet have no collision to build a row of the covering array. If we manage to obtain the maximum subgraph of graph $\mathcal{G}$, the row generated would provide a maximum coverage of missing interactions. The problem of determining the largest complete subgraph is known as the maximum clique problem, and determining the set of cliques that covers all the nodes of a graph is the minimum clique covering problem.

The solution process of the corresponding graph for a CA with $k=3, t=2$ and $v=2$ is shown in the Figure 3.2. It can be seen that the constructed maximum cliques are: $\{a 0 b 0, a 0 c 0, b 0 c 0\}$; $\{a 1 b 1, a 1 c 0, b 1 c 0\} ;\{a 1 b 0, a 1 c 1, b 0 c 1\} ;\{a 0 b 1, a 0 c 1, b 1 c 1\}$, corresponding to the rows: $000 ; 110$; 101; 011, respectively.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { CA }(4 ; 2,3,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 3.2: Original graph, solved graph, and the CA constructed for the instance with parameters $t=2, k=3$ and $v=2$.

A detailed example of the step by step solution of a covering array instance is depicted in Figure 3.3 , the clique selected to be added to the clique cover is colored in red, the images in the left columns show the clique that is selected, and the images in the right columns show the edges that are deleted of the graph after fixing the clique selected.

(a) The clique $\{a 0 b 0, a 0 c 0, b 0 c 0\}$ is chosen, this clique forms the row $0,0,0$.

(c) The clique $\{a 0 b 1, a 0 c 1, b 1 c 1\}$ is chosen, this clique forms the row $0,1,1$.

(b) All incident edges to the clique $\{a 0 b 0, a 0 c 0, b 0 c 0\}$ are deleted.

(d) All incident edges to the clique $\{a 0 b 1, a 0 c 1, b 1 c 1\}$ are deleted.

Figure 3.3: A step by step solution of the clique covering problem for the covering array instance CA ( $2,3,2$ ). Part 1 of 2 .

(e) The clique $\{a 1 b 1, a 1 c 0, b 1 c 0\}$ is chosen, this clique forms the row $1,1,0$.

(f) All incident edges to the clique $\{a 1 b 1, a 1 c 0, b 1 c 0\}$ are deleted.

(g) The clique $\{a 1 b 0, a 1 c 1, b 0 c 1\}$ is chosen, this clique forms the row $1,0,1$.

(h) The obtained clique cover with 4 cliques.

Figure 3.4: A step by step solution of the clique covering problem for the covering array instance CA(2, 3, 2). Part 2 of 2.

### 3.1.1 Representing a mixed covering array with the coverage in nodes representation

It is also possible to map the characteristics of an MCA to a graph with the representation CN , but now each factor has its own alphabet, the set of factors $C=\{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ has the set of values $V=\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right\}$, derived from Theorem 1 the actual number of nodes for a MCA is shown in Theorem 4 and is described in Equation (3.4). To obtain the number of edges of a node it is necessary to know the factors that are not involved in the node and to replace subsets of size $\{1, \ldots, t\}$ in every possible way, the number of edges is shown in Theorem 5 and the edge set is described in Equation (3.5).

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V}= & \left\{\left(\alpha_{0} \beta_{0} \ldots \alpha_{i} \beta_{i} \ldots \alpha_{t-1} \beta_{t-1}\right) \mid(\forall i, j \in\{0, \ldots, k-1\} \wedge i<j)\left(\alpha_{i}<\alpha_{j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\wedge \alpha_{i} \in\{0, \ldots, k-1\} \wedge \beta_{i} \in\left\{0, \ldots, v_{\alpha_{i}}-1\right\}\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}= & \left\{\left(\alpha_{0} \beta_{0} \ldots \alpha_{i} \beta_{i} \ldots \alpha_{t-1} \beta_{t-1}\right),\left(\gamma_{0} \delta_{0} \ldots \gamma_{i} \delta_{i} \ldots \gamma_{t-1} \delta_{t-1}\right) \mid \alpha_{i}, \gamma_{i} \in C \wedge \beta_{i} \in\left\{0, \ldots, v_{\alpha_{i}}-1\right\}\right. \\
& \left.\wedge \delta_{i} \in\left\{0, \ldots, v_{\gamma_{i}}-1\right\} \wedge \Lambda\left(\left(\alpha_{0} \beta_{0} \ldots \alpha_{i} \beta_{i} \ldots \alpha_{t-1} \beta_{t-1}\right),\left(\gamma_{0} \delta_{0} \ldots \gamma_{i} \delta_{i} \ldots \gamma_{t-1} \delta_{t-1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{true}\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

## Theorem 4

Given $t, k$ and a set $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ of available alphabet for each distinct factor a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ generated by the representation CN with this parameters, stands that

$$
|\mathcal{V}|=\sum_{\forall\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t-1}\right)_{\neq} \in C} \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} v_{a_{i}}
$$

Proof. Since the set of nodes is a concatenation formed by a column of the set $C=$ $\{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ and its respective alphabet of the set $V=\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right\}$ the number of nodes depends on which factor is selected in the node and its own possible values. The possible values for $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t-1}\right)_{\neq} \in C$ is $\prod_{i=0}^{t-1} v_{a_{i}}$ then $|\mathcal{V}|=\sum_{\forall\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t-1}\right)_{\neq C} \in C} \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} v_{a_{i}}$

## Theorem 5

Given $t, k$ and a set $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ of values for each distinct factor a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ generated by the representation CN with this parameters, stands that

$$
|\mathcal{E}|=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\forall\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t-1}\right)_{\neq C} \in C}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{t-1} v_{a_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{\min (t, k-t)}\binom{t}{i}_{\forall\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{i-1}\right)_{\neq} \in C \backslash\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t-1}\right\}} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} v_{b_{j}}\right)
$$

Proof. The set of edges represent compatible nodes, using Definition 11 and overriding the number of values available for each member of the subset used as substitute of the actual factors in the node, because now each factor has its own alphabet. Then a node with columns $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t-1}\right)_{\neq} \in C$ has

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\min (t, k-t)}\binom{t}{i}_{\forall\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{i-1}\right)_{\neq} \in C \backslash\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t-1}\right\}} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} v_{b_{j}}
$$

compatible nodes, then

$$
|\mathcal{E}|=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\forall\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t-1}\right)_{\neq} \in C}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{t-1} v_{a_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{\min (t, k-t)}\binom{t}{i}_{\forall\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{i-1}\right)_{\neq} \in C \backslash\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t-1}\right\}} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} v_{b_{j}}\right)
$$

### 3.1.2 Handling constraints on the coverage in nodes representation

For this mapping, constraints of size $\leq 2$ can be fully handled as it is shown in Theorem 6ut, for greater sizes the constraint handling is not granted for $t>2$ as it is demonstrated in Theorem 7 then the validation of constraints has to be performed when the graph problem is solved. However, reducing the amount of nodes and edges even if the constraint is not completely handled is worth because it represents a reduction in the running time of a solution algorithm. Predicting how the parameters would be reduced is a difficult problem, starting on a graph without constraints and adding the first constraint of size $\zeta$ the edges reduced are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{E}_{r}\right|=\binom{|\mathcal{V}|-a}{t-a}\binom{|\mathcal{V}|-\zeta}{t-b} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ represent all possible solutions for the diophantine equation $a+b=\zeta$ for $a, b>0$ and $a \leq b$.

Predicting the next constraints becomes an inclusion-exclusion problem (see [22] for examples), because edges that are already deleted would be taking into account in another constraint. So, for an accurate prediction of the number of edges deleted it is necessary to evaluate the intersection of the edges deleted by the current constraint in process with the edges that are already deleted by another constraint.

## Theorem 6

Given $t, k$, and $v$ a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ generated by the representation CN with this parameters, it is possible to handle constraints of size $\leq 2$.

Proof. Since a node is a concatenation of $t$ columns and values, a constraint of size $1(a),(x)$ is handled by deleting all the nodes where the column $a$ is concatenated with the value $x$ and all edges involving between these nodes.

A constraint $(a, b),(x, y)$ where $a, b \in\{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ and $x, y \in\{0, \ldots, v-1\}$ is handled by deleting the edges where the union of both nodes includes the columns $a, b$ with the respective values $x, y$.

## Theorem 7

Given $t>2, k$, and $v$ a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ generated by the representation CN with this parameters, it is not possible to handle constraints of size $>2$.

Proof. A constraint $(a, b, c, \ldots)(x, y, z, \ldots)$ where $a, b, c, \ldots \in\{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ and $x, y, z, \ldots \in$ $\{0, \ldots, v-1\}$ could be rearranged as an ordered pair of (column, value) as $(a, x),(b, y), \ldots$, since the solution is given in terms of nodes involved in a clique, there could be a set of $m$ nodes where:

$$
\{(a, x),(b, y),(c, z), \ldots\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{m}\left\{\left(\alpha_{0, i}, \beta_{0, i}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{t-1, i}, \beta_{t-1, i}\right)\right\}
$$

which results in a constraint violation even if all the edges where the constraints are involved are deleted when $m$ is large enough the union could partially construct the factors fixed with the values that are involved in the set of constraints.

|  | Set of columns | Set of values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $(a, b)$ | $(0,0)$ |
| 2 | $(b, d)$ | $(1,0)$ |
| 3 | $(a, c)$ | $(0,0)$ |
| 4 | $(a, c)$ | $(1,0)$ |
| 5 | $(c, d)$ | $(1,1)$ |
| 6 | $(b, c)$ | $(0,1)$ |

Table 3.1: A set of constraints for a CA with parameters $t=2, k=4$, and $v=2$.

Figure 3.5 shows an instance with parameters $t=2, k=4$, and $v=2$ using constraints in Table 3.1. This instance without constraints has 24 nodes and 144 edges and constraint version has 18 nodes and 32 edges.


Figure 3.5: The graph generated for parameters $t=2, k=3$ and $v=2$ by the representation CN with constraints.

### 3.2 Flexible positions using the coverage in nodes

## representation

Flexible positions, also known as wildcard positions, are elements of a CA that can be modified without decreasing its coverage provided. Using a vector or matrix of integers to represent a CA $(N ; t, k, v)$, the flexible positions are identified by a value $v$, this flexible positions are studied in the state of the art (see [17, 25]) and have been used to post-optimize CAs in [44, 36, 62]. With the representation CN , the detection of flexible positions is performed in the mapping of the graph-based solution to the matrix integer domain. In the previous sections we described that the equivalent problem of the CACP in the graph domain is the minimum clique covering problem, where each clique represents a row of the CA. Since every node in the clique may fix values to a set of $t$ factors, it is possible that some factors in a row are not fixed due to the number of nodes in the clique. If a factor is not fixed then this factor is a flexible position.

For example, Figure 3.7 presents a graph instance for the $\mathrm{CA}(2,5,2)$, and Figure 3.8 shows a clique cover for the instance. To map this solution each clique is depicted to a row of the CA:

- The clique $\{a 1 b 0, a 1 c 0, a 1 d 1, a 1 e 1, b 0 c 0, b 0 d 1, b 0 e 1, c 0 d 1, c 0 e 1, d 1 e 1\}$ fixes values for factors $a, b, c, d, e$.
- The clique $\{a 0 b 0, a 0 c 1, a 0 d 0, a 0 e 0, b 0 c 1, b 0 d 0, b 0 e 0, c 1 d 0, c 1 e 0, d 0 e 0\}$ fixes values for factors $a, b, c, d, e$.
- The clique $\{a 1 b 1, a 1 d 0, a 1 e 0, b 1 c 0, b 1 d 0, b 1 e 0, c 0 d 0, c 0 e 0\}$ fixes values for factors $a, b, c, d, e$.
- The clique $\{a 0 b 1, a 0 d 1, a 0 e 1, b 1 c 1, b 1 d 1, b 1 e 1, c 1 d 1, c 1 e 1\}$ fixes values for factors $a, b, c, d, e$.
- The clique $\{a 1 c 1, d 1 e 0\}$ fixes values for factors $a, c, d, e$.
- The clique $\{a 0 c 0, d 0 e 1\}$ fixes values for factors $a, c, d, e$.

The cliques $1,2,3,4$ fix values for all the parameters of the CA, the cliques 5 and 6 do not fix a
value to the $b$ factor, so, the value for the factor $b$ in the rows 5 and 6 is a flexible position, and its shown in Figure 3.6.

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Figure 3.6: The covering array $\mathrm{CA}(6 ; 2,5,6)$ with two flexible positions for the second factor in rows 5 and 6.


Figure 3.7: The graph generated for parameters $t=2, k=5$, and $v=2$ by the coverage in nodes representation.


Figure 3.8: A clique cover for graph generated for the instance $\mathrm{CA}(2,5,2)$ by the coverage in nodes representation.

### 3.3 Summary

This chapter presented the proposed representation of the characteristics of a covering array in a graph, is analyzed and described the general characteristics of the graph generated through the representation denoted as coverage in nodes (CN) of a covering array instance.

Furthermore, it is described the mapping of mixed covering arrays to the graph domain using the proposed representation and the way that constraints are handled. The representation CN allows to map the CACP to an equivalent problem in the graph domain, which is the minimum clique covering problem that is explained in the following chapter. The next chapter will describe the methodology applied to solve this equivalent problem with three distinct approaches: exact, greedy, and metaheuristic.

## Methodology to solve the CACP in the graph

 domainThis chapter describes the methodology to solve CACP in the graph domain using the representation CN. This representation requires finding the minimum clique cover, which is an NP-hard problem and its decision version is NP-complete [21]. Each clique in the clique cover represents a row of a CA, therefore, the lesser cliques involved in the clique cover the better CA that is constructed. Section 4.1 presents an overview of the proposed methodology. Section 4.2 presents algorithms that are used by proposed exact, greedy, and metaheuristic implementations. Section 4.3 describes an exact algorithm to solve the clique cover problem. In Section 4.4 four greedy algorithms for the clique cover problem are explained. Section 4.5 shows a metaheuristic implementation to solve the clique cover problem. Finally, Section 4.6shows a post-optimization algorithm using a graph representation to reduce the number of rows of CAs.

### 4.1 Methodology overview

Based on the specific objectives described in the Section 1.5, the proposed methodology consists in: a) the development of an algorithm to map a $\mathrm{CA}(t, k, v)$ instance to their respective graph, b) the development of exact, greedy and metaheuristic algorithms to solve the minimum clique cover problem according to the size of the instance, c) the development of an algorithm to map the clique cover into a CA and, d) the development of an algorithm to post-optimize CAs in the graph domain previously constructed by any method.

### 4.2 Utility algorithms

The exact algorithm and two versions of the greedy algorithm (to be discussed in the following sections) rely in knowing the list of all maximal cliques of the graph instance. To obtain the list, two algorithms are developed: a) an algorithm to list all the maximum cliques of a graph, and b) a greedy version for vertex coloring of a graph.

An instance of a CA without constraints using the representation CN stands that all maximal cliques of the graph are maximum. The algorithm to list all maximum cliques uses the greedy vertex coloring as a pruning method.

### 4.2.1 List all maximum cliques of a graph

This algorithm builds a clique in a recursive way, using a lexicographically order to avoid listing isomorphic cliques. Starting with each possible node of the graph, for example node $v$, then obtain the neighborhood of $v\left(N_{v}\right)$ and call to a inner level of recursion. After that, the nodes available to append to the clique are the included in $N_{v}$, attach a node $u \in N_{v}$ to the clique, compute the new neighborhood as the intersection of $N_{v}$ and $N_{u}$, and call to an inner level of recursion. Every element of a current neighborhood must be lexicographically greater than the current clique.

The current clique is included in the list when it reaches the size of the cliques already included, and if the current clique exceeds the size of the cliques in the list, all the elements of the list are deleted and the new maximum clique is added to the list. Algorithm 1 provides the pseudocode for this algorithm.

Algorithm 1 An exact algorithm to obtain all the maximum cliques of a graph generated by the CN representation.

Input: Graph $G=(V, E)$.
Output: A set of maximum cliques $S$.
$S \leftarrow \emptyset, \max \leftarrow 0$
function GETMAXIMUMCLIQUES(graph $G$, current clique $C$, neighborhood of $C N_{C}$ )
if $C$ is empty then
for all $v \in V(G)$ do
$C \leftarrow C \cup v$
GETMAXIMUMCLIQUES $\left(G, C, N_{v}\right)$
$C \leftarrow C \backslash v$
end for
else
if $|C|>\max$ then $S \leftarrow C, \max \leftarrow|C|$
else
if $C=\max$ then $S \leftarrow S \cup C$
end if
end if
15: $\quad$ for all $v \in N_{C}$ do
16: $\quad C \leftarrow C \cup v$
17: $\quad V\left(G_{\text {new }}\right) \leftarrow\left\{u \mid u \in N_{C} \backslash v\right\}$
18:

$$
E\left(G_{\text {new }}\right) \leftarrow\left\{(i, j) \mid i, j \in V\left(G_{\text {new }}\right) \wedge(i, j) \in E(G)\right\}
$$

```
19: \(\quad\) if coloring \(\left(G_{\text {new }}\right)+|C| \leq \max\) then getmaximumcliques \(\left(G, C, N_{C} \cap N_{v}\right)\)
\(20:\)
21:
22:
23:
end if
end function
```


### 4.2.2 Greedy vertex coloring of a graph

The greedy vertex coloring of a graph can be used as a pruning criterion for the tentative expansion of a clique. The chromatic number $\chi$ offers an upper-bound of growing for the clique expansion but the problem of determining the chromatic number of a graph is NP-complete [21] so the version implemented is a greedy one.

The strategy consists in coloring the vertices with higher degree first.

1. Sort in descending order the vertices of the graph according to their degree.
2. Color the first vertex of the list.
3. Color all the vertices not connected to the previously colored vertex with the same color if they do not have conflict coloring.
4. Repeat the process until every vertex is colored.

Algorithm 2 provides the pseudocode for the greedy vertex coloring algorithm.

```
Algorithm 2 A greedy algorithm for the vertex coloring of a graph.
Input: Graph \(G=(V, E)\).
```

Output: Approximation of chromatic number.
function COLORING( $G$ )
Sort $V$ in degree ascending order.
current_color $\leftarrow 0$
for all $u \in V$ do
if $u$ is_not_colored then
$u_{\text {color }} \leftarrow$ current_color
for all $v \in V \mid(u, v) \notin E$ do
if $\forall w \mid(w \in V \wedge(v, w) \in E)\left(w_{\text {color }} \neq\right.$ current_color $)$ then
$v_{\text {color }} \leftarrow$ current_color
end if
end for
current_color $\leftarrow$ current_color +1
end if
end for
return color
end function

### 4.3 Exact algorithm for minimum clique covering

Exact algorithm for the minimum clique covering (ECC) requires the list of all cliques of the graph. With that, ECC obtains, in an optimal way, the set of cliques that include at least once each node of the graph. ECC models the problem as a decision of whether or not to include a clique in the set of the clique cover. Fixing cliques inside the cover may reduce the unique nodes provided by
others cliques but as they conserve at least one unique node they must be taken into account in the decision process.

The inclusion-exclusion of a clique in the solution can be represented as a decision tree shown in Figure 4.1.

- do not include in the clique.
+ include in the clique.



## Clique n

Figure 4.1: The decision tree to solve in an exact way the minimum clique covering.

A solution is achieved when the set of cliques included in the cover have at least one time each node of the graph. This solution could be used as a pruning criterion of the tree decision process. An strategy for parallelization of this algorithm consists in unwrapping the top of the decision tree to generate $2^{n}$ leaves, where $n$ is the amount of fixed positions in the decision sequence.

For example, the string " -- " could be mapped as a sequence where the initial three elements are not included in the clique cover. Having $p$ processors, an approximate of fixed cliques in a sequence is $\log (p)$. Furthermore, solutions of size $n$ that include only one clique to the cover are isomorphical, because the graph generated after the deletion of the nodes involved in the clique have the same characteristics no matter what clique is selected.

### 4.4 Greedy algorithm for minimum clique covering

Distinct approaches were tested as a greedy heuristic and four greedy versions were developed. The first and second versions require to list all the maximum cliques. The first version of the greedy algorithm evaluates the characteristics of the graph generated after the deletion of a desired clique. Every clique available in the list is tested, to determine what clique is going to be fixed, an objective function is maximized. This function consists in the summation of the square degree of the nodes that will remain available and the size of the clique that will be deleted, allowing to give priority to the deletion of cliques that would generate a graph with high probability to be covered with fewer cliques.

This criterion tries to avoid graphs with small degree nodes, a node with small degree may cause a clique with fewer nodes included that will be mapped to a row that covers a small number of $t$-way interactions.

Since the size of the list with all the maximum cliques grows exponentially, the second version of the greedy algorithm adds new criteria to fix a clique without exploring the whole list, these criteria fix a clique iff: a) the size of the clique is the largest coverage that a row provide, or b) the size of the clique is above the average of the previously added cliques to the clique cover. These new criteria in most instances may increase the number of cliques in the clique cover but the running time is decreased.

Despite the improvement in running time, iterating over the list with all the maximum cliques makes the overall process slower. The third version of the greedy algorithm does not use this list at all, it builds a maximum clique that only takes into account the neighborhood of a desired node. This algorithm Iteratively selects a base node $v$ with a desired criteria, build a maximum clique including only the nodes $N_{v}=\{u \mid\{u, v\} \in E\}$ until all the nodes are included in a clique. The criteria for the selection of a node $v$ could be the minimum or maximum of the summation of the degrees of the neighbors of node $v$, in practice better results are achieved when the base node minimizes
$\sum_{u \in N_{v}} d e g(u)$. This algorithm provides a better running time but it a trade-off with the number of cliques generated, bigger number of cliques but in less time.

The last approach for the greedy algorithm tries to combine the ideas of the previous versions. This algorithm creates an induced graph $G^{\prime}$ with nodes randomly chosen of the ones that are not included in any clique yet. Select the maximum clique $C$ of $G^{\prime}$ through a greedy heuristic, and perform the expansion of $C$ using all the nodes of the original graph. This process can be repeated many times in order to obtain a set of tentative cliques to fix and determine which is the one that minimizes the fixing heuristic. The fixing heuristic combines the size of the clique and the degree of the nodes that are not included in any clique to calculate a representative value for the quality of the constructed clique. If the set of tentative cliques is large the constructed clique cover is better, but it is also slows the process of creation of the clique cover.

Since two processes are required to obtain a maximal clique it is not necessary to compute the maximum clique of the induced graph (line 9 of Algorithm 4) in an exact way. This heuristic construct a clique by iteratively appending the node that has the highest degree in the actual induced graph, recalculate the induced graph using the neighbors of the node selected and so on until there are no nodes to incorporate to the clique.

After the creation of a clique with the highest degree criterion, the algorithm performs an expansion of the clique using all the nodes available of the graph $G$ (line 10 of Algorithm 4), the expansion computes all the possible ways of incorporate nodes of the set $C A N D$ of candidates to the current clique in order to maximize the number of nodes in the clique. The set $C A N D$ is calculated as the intersection of the neighborhood of each node in the clique.

This last greedy algorithm makes a trade-off between the running time invested to find a solution and the solution quality. It is possible to adjust this trade-off by increasing (for better quality) or decreasing (for faster execution) the value of loop (in line 6 of Algorithm 4). This is not a deterministic algorithm despite the selected value for loop several runs may not achieve the same result.

Algorithm 3 Pseudocode for the fixing heuristic.
1: function FIXING_HEURISTIC $(C, G)$
Input: A clique set $C$ to fix and the graph $G$.
Output: Value for the fixing heuristic.
Put nodes $C$ of $G$ as unavailable.
$\max \leftarrow 0, \min \leftarrow \infty$, valids $\leftarrow 0$, average $\leftarrow 0$
for all $v \in G$ do
if $v$ is available then
if $\operatorname{deg}(v)<\min$ then $\min \leftarrow \operatorname{deg}(v)$ end if
if $\operatorname{deg}(v)>\max$ then $\max \leftarrow \operatorname{deg}(v)$
end if
valids $\leftarrow$ valids +1
average $\leftarrow$ average $+\operatorname{deg}(v)$
end if
end for
Put nodes $C$ of $G$ as available.
return $|C|+($ average $/$ valids $) /(\max +1)$
end function

```
Algorithm 4 A greedy algorithm for the minimum clique covering.
    1: function \(\operatorname{Greedy}(G, l o o p)\)
    Input: A graph \(G=(V, E)\).
    Output: A clique cover \(C C\).
        missing \(\leftarrow|V|\)
        while missing \(>0\) do
            \(l \leftarrow 0\)
            best \(\leftarrow 0\), best \(C=\emptyset\)
            while \(l<\) loop do
                Randomly select \(\min \left(\operatorname{missing},\binom{k}{t}\right)\) vertices of \(V\) to build \(V^{\prime}\)
                \(G^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime} \leftarrow\left\{\{u, v\} \mid\{u, v\} \in E \wedge u, v \in V^{\prime}\right\}\right)\)
                \(C \leftarrow\) greedyMaxClique \(\left(G^{\prime}\right)\)
                \(C \leftarrow \operatorname{expand}(C, G)\)
            if best \(<\) fixing_heuristic \((C, G)\) then
                    best \(\leftarrow\) fixing_heuristic \((C, G)\), best \(C \leftarrow C\)
            end if
        end while
        \(C C \leftarrow C C \cup C\)
            Put nodes \(C\) as unavailable.
            missing \(\leftarrow\) missing \(-|C|\)
        end while
        return \(C C\)
    end function
```


### 4.5 Metaheuristic algorithm for minimum clique covering

To build clique covers with better quality, we proposed a simulated annealing algorithm for minimum clique covering (SACC). SACC receives a clique cover and perform operations to explore from the current solution to new ones in the search space in order to reduce the number of cliques needed to cover all the nodes of the graph.

To perform the exploration of the search space, it is necessary to develop neighborhood functions. These functions changes the composition of some cliques of the current solution to create a new solution that is evaluated to judge its quality, SA incorporates some criteria to allow the acceptance of solutions with lower quality depending on the current temperature of the system, at higher temperatures it accepts with higher probability a bad quality solution. The system is cooled down with the pass of iterations, forcing the acceptance of solutions that only makes improvement in their quality with regard to the current solution.

The quality of a clique cover is given by the following function:

$$
f_{-} \operatorname{obj}(C C)=|C C|+\left(1-\frac{\sum_{c \in C C}|c|^{2}}{|C C| \cdot\binom{k}{t}^{2}}\right)
$$

This functions is composed by an integer part, which evaluates the number of cliques of the clique cover and a decimal part in the range $[0,1)$ that allows us to tear apart solutions with the same number of cliques but with different distribution of the sizes of each clique. The decimal part qualifies with a smaller number the clique covers that have cliques with small number of nodes that, in practice, are more suitable to be absorbed by another clique in the clique cover. Four neighborhood functions were developed to explore the search space that are described in the next subsections.

### 4.5.1 Neighborhood function 1: random coloring

This function gives randomness to the SACC, random coloring most of the time builds a larger number of cliques than the original but allows us to redistribute the nodes involved in some cliques in a different way. This function randomly selects 3 cliques $O, P, Q$ that are in $C C$. The nodes included in that cliques forms the set of missing that are used to generate a new set of cliques to substitute $O, P, Q$ in the cover.

To re-include that nodes in cliques we take advantage of the equivalent problem of the clique cover, the vertex coloring. Using the graph complement, we randomly sort the set of missing to perform the vertex coloring of the nodes using a fast heuristic.

The coloring process uses a vector to keep tracking the available colors for each node, to coloring each node the algorithm verifies the previous colored nodes and marks as unavailable the colors that are used previously and are neighbors of the current node. If all the colors are unavailable a new color is assigned to the node.

To recreate the set of cliques, the nodes that are assigned to the same color form a clique to add to the cover, so the number of cliques added is equals to the greedy chromatic number.

### 4.5.2 Neighborhood function 2: greedy coloring

This function performs a quick coloring to perturb the current solution, that in most of the time generates a new solution with lesser number of cliques than the original or the same number but hardly generates a worst solution, it provides a slight change to the current solution.

This function randomly selects 5 cliques $A, B, C, D, E$ that are in $C C$. The nodes included in that cliques forms the set of missing that are used to generate a new set of cliques to substitute $O, P, Q$ in the cover.

To re-include that nodes in cliques we take advantage of the equivalent problem of the clique cover, the vertex coloring. Using the graph complement, we perform the vertex coloring of the nodes
using the heuristic of highest degree first.
The coloring process consists in: a) Sort in descending order the vertices of the induced graph formed with the set of missing according to their degree, b) Color the first vertex of the list, c) Color all the vertices not connected to the previously colored vertex with the same color if they do not have conflict coloring, d) Repeat the process until every vertex is colored.

To recreate the set of cliques, the nodes that are assigned to the same color form a clique to add to the cover, so the number of cliques added is the greedy chromatic number.

### 4.5.3 Neighborhood function 3: disrupt a clique

This function attempts to redistribute all the nodes of one clique to a subset of cliques in the clique cover and provides a quick way to delete a clique that may fit partially in another clique in the clique cover.

This function randomly selects $\frac{|C C|}{2}$ cliques of the current cover to form the set $Z$.
A random clique of the set $Z$ is selected as a pivot. The nodes included in the pivot clique may fit in the remaining cliques of the set $Z$. The goal for this function is to decompose the pivot clique to decrement its size. To do this, each node in the pivot clique tries to fit in one clique in $Z$, if the node fits, it is deleted from the pivot clique and added to the clique it fitted.

The new node configuration replaces the original set taken from $C C$.

### 4.5.4 Neighborhood function 4: fill a clique

This function redistributes the number of nodes of a subset of cliques in the clique cover. It tries to append the nodes included in this subset to only one clique, which performs a reduction in the number of nodes of some cliques but increases the number of nodes of only one clique.

This function randomly selects $\frac{|C C|}{4}$ cliques of the current cover to form the set $Y$. A random clique of the set $Y$ is selected as a fixed clique. If the fixed clique is not full (has $\binom{k}{t}$
nodes), it may accept some nodes that are included in the remaining cliques in $Y$. To do this, each node in each clique of the set $Y$ is tested, if the node can expand the fixed clique, it is translated from its original clique to the fixed clique.

The new node configuration replaces the original set $Y$ taken from $C C$.

### 4.5.5 Fine tuning for Simulated Annealing

Simulated annealing incorporate some parameters that have to be selected in the best possible way, such as the probability usage of the neighborhoods presented in the previous subsections, the cooling rate, and the number of iterations that the neighborhoods are tried for every temperature.

In order to tune the probabilities of usage of each neighborhood, we propose a tuning using the linear Diophantine equation:

$$
F 1+F 2+F 3+F 4=10
$$

Where variables $F 1, F 2, F 3$, and $F 4$ represent the usage probability for each neighborhood with a granularity of 5 .

For example, the values $F 1=2, F 2=2, F 3=2, F 4=4$ indicate that the function $F 1$ has $20 \%$ of probability of being chosen, $F 2$ has $20 \%, F 3$ has $20 \%$, and $F 3$ has $40 \%$.

This tuning is done by selecting 3 representative instances, and testing 31 times each of the 286 different solutions of the above equation.

The proposed fine tuning leads to establish the probabilities for the usage of the neighborhood functions as: $F 1=10, F 2=10, F 3=40$, and $F 4=40$.

### 4.6 Graph Based Post-Optimization (GBPO)

Our graph-based post-optimization (GBPO) approach deletes, in an exhaustive way, a set of several rows of a CA or partial CA (PCA), maps the missing t-way interactions to a graph that represents the pairwise compatibility between them, and rebuilds a set of rows (with the same or less size than the deleted set) to provide a greater or equal coverage than the original CA (or PCA). The use of a graph provides an easy way to remember sets of t-way interactions that can be added in the same row of a CA.

This subsection describes GBPO approach, it is based on three processes: a) MAPPER process, that moves the problem to the graph domain; b) MAX process, that finds complete subgraphs with the maximal number of nodes, i.e. maximal cliques; c) HANDLER process, that orchestrates the post-optimization in the graph domain and provides the final result in the CA domain.

### 4.6.1 MAPPER process

Any covering array $\mathrm{CA}(N ; t, k, v)$ provides a total of $v^{t}\binom{k}{t}$ distinct $t$-way interactions. Every row provides at most $\binom{k}{t}$ t-way interactions, so a CA provides $N \cdot\binom{k}{t}$ interactions, a trivial case of optimality exists when $N=v^{t}$ (an orthogonal array of index unity). When $N>v^{t}$, some interactions could be covered in more than one rows, meanwhile some others could be covered only once. It is possible that regardless of the value of some cells the coverage still stands, those cells are known as wildcard or flexible positions. A PCA is a covering array with missing interactions.

The MAPPER process generates a graph $G=\{V, E\}$ where $|V|$ is the number of missing interactions in a PCA, and $|E|$ is the number of pairs of missing interactions that are compatible (see Definition 11). An edge between two nodes exists iff the corresponding missing interactions are compatible. This way the process of mapping a PCA to the graph domain is very easy to implement.

### 4.6.2 MAX process

The main work of this process involves the determination of a complete subgraph of maximal size (a complete subgraph between a set of nodes requires the existence of an edge for each pair of nodes belonging to the complete subgraph). A maximal complete subgraph is called a maximal clique. A maximal clique represents in the CA domain a row that covers all the missing interactions corresponding to its nodes. The MAX process receives as input parameters: a PCA; $\iota$ that indicates the number of input rows; $\phi$ that defines the number of output rows; and $\epsilon$ for the number of excluded rows.

At high level the operation of this process deletes from the input $C A \iota$ rows (excluding the first $\epsilon$ rows $)$, and adds to the input $\mathrm{CA} \phi$ new rows, so if the input is a $\mathrm{CA}(N ; t, k, v)$ the output will be a $\mathrm{CA}(N+\phi-\iota ; t, k, v)$ with less or same missing interactions than the input CA. This process requires the generation of a graph that covers the missing interactions in a $\mathrm{CA}(N-\iota ; t, k, v)$, the determination of $\phi$ maximal cliques in the graph and the construction of $\phi$ rows (corresponding to the $\phi$ maximal cliques) giving finally a $\mathrm{CA}(N+\phi-\iota ; t, k, v)$. As an important note, the reason to avoid searching the maximum clique is that getting the largest amount of missing interactions in one row naturally attempts to recreate the same rows that were previously deleted.

Given a $\operatorname{PCA}(N ; t, k, v)$ and $\iota, \phi$, and $\epsilon$ parameters, the MAX process does:

1. Find the missing interactions in the first PCA and construct the list $O$ with them.
2. Let $\Omega$ be a set with every possible set of $\iota$ different rows of the PCA excluding the first $\epsilon$ rows.
3. For each element of $\omega \in \Omega$.
(a) Analyze the interactions of each of the rows in $\omega$ to construct the list $M$ with the interactions provided uniquely by the rows in $\omega$.
(b) With both lists $M$ and $O$ build a graph $G$ where $V$ is each element in both lists, and the set of edges is generated by checking the compatibility of the set of nodes.
(c) Generate the $\phi$ maximal cliques and construct $\phi$ rows
(d) Calculate $\xi$ as the interactions that are not in any clique.
4. Choose the option that minimizes $\xi$ (the number of missing interactions).

It is possible to improve the quality ${ }^{1}$ of a CA by applying this process iteratively, with stop criterion such as the number of iterations without improvement.

For example, given the parameters $\iota=1, \phi=1, \epsilon=0$ and the next PCA with parameters $t=2$, $k=4$, and $v=2$

| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

if the number of columns is denoted by the alphabet $a, b, c, \ldots$, there are three missing tuples: $a 0 d 1$, $b 1 d 1$, and $c 0 d 1$.

Because $\iota=1$ the process will try the $\binom{N}{1}$ ways to delete one row. Starting with the deletion of the first row, the t-way interactions provided uniquely by this row are $a 0 b 1, a 0 c 0$, and $b 1 c 0$. Using the definition of compatibility it is possible to construct the edges and nodes of a graph using the original missing interactions plus the ones provided uniquely by the first row. Figure 4.2 shows the graph generated where the number of columns is represented with letters $a, b$, and $c$.

[^1]

Figure 4.2: Graph generated in the MAX process of the post-optimization algorithm.
Since $\phi=1$, just one maximal clique needs to be obtained. So, no matter what interaction was randomly selected the resulting maximal clique is $\{a 0 b 1, a 0 c 0, a 0 d 1, b 1 c 0, b 1 d 1, c 0 d 1\}$, leaving a remaining of zero missing interactions. The row generated with that maximal clique is $0,1,0,1$, and forms the next valid CA

| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

the process stops whenever it reaches zero missing interactions, after that, the HANDLER process (described in the next subsection) would try to delete another row, but the remaining 4 rows would reach the stop criterion without finding a $\mathrm{CA}(4 ; 2,4,2)$, which does not exist.

### 4.6.3 HANDLER process

The HANDLER process orchestrates the CA post-optimization. This process defines the parameters used for calling the MAX process, and uses the obtained results for further calls. the flow chart in Figure 4.3 show the overall operation of the HANDLER process. The process receives a CA or PCA, the initial count of missing t -way interactions $\xi$, the number of iterations allowed in the external loop of the graph solver process tol, and the number of maximum iterations without improvement max
used as a stop criterion. The number of rows for $\iota$ and $\phi$ parameter values was fixed as $f=\{1,2,3\}$. Since the outer loop of the HANDLER process could take too much time to execute, a mechanism to control its execution time is needed. This mechanism uses the parameter tol to calculate the number $\epsilon$ of rows excluded from evaluation. For a specific value of rows involved $f, \epsilon$ is computed as follows:

1. Calculate the number of iterations of the external loop num_ops $=\binom{N}{f}$.
(a) if $n u m_{-}$ops $\leq t o l, ~ \epsilon=0$.
(b) if $n u m_{-}$ops $>$tol, $\epsilon=\left(N-\frac{N}{\left(n u m_{-} o p s / t o l\right)^{1 / f}}\right)$.

This process has two variants: when there are no missing t-way interactions $(\xi=0)$ after updating the partial CA through the MAX process, the HANDLER immediately calls the MAX process to delete $\iota=2$ rows and build $\phi=1$ rows which generates a new PCA; and when $\xi>0$ the HANDLER calls the MAX process trying to decrease the amount of missing interactions until the stop criterion is met.


Figure 4.3: Flow diagram of the HANDLER process of the post-optimization algorithm.

### 4.7 Summary

This chapter presented the methodology used to solve the mapped problem of the CACP to the graph domain, three distinct approaches were developed: a) an exact algorithm to find optimal results for small graph instances; b) a set of four greedy algorithms to solve bigger graph instances in short time; c) a metaheuristic algorithm that improve in terms of quality the results obtained for the greedy algorithm. These approaches solve the minimum clique covering problem which is the equivalent problem to the CACP in the graph domain using the Coverage in Nodes representation, and consists in including every node into a clique where the number of cliques is minimal.

Also a graph-based post-optimization algorithm is presented to reduce the number of rows of a CA whenever its possible. In the next chapter we present the experimentation done for each algorithm proposed in this methodology and is analyzed the results obtained by our solution methods.

## Experimentation and results

This chapter presents the experimentation done and results obtained with the proposed algorithms for the minimum clique covering, the proposed experimentation consists of: a) a set of 7 graph instances where $|V|=\binom{k}{t} v^{t} \leq 90$ for the exact algorithm, to prove that our exact approach construct optimal CAs, where we select small graph instances due to the fact that running time for this algorithm grows exponentially, b) a set of 95 medium size graph instances for the greedy algorithm, to show the performance of our greedy approach versus a greedy approach of the state-of-the-art, c) a set of 33 medium size graph instances for the metaheuristic algorithm, to show that our metaheuristic approach build clique covers with better quality than our greedy approach, and d) a set of 560 CAs previously constructed, to show that our post-optimization algorithm reduces the number of rows of the CAs. Results obtained are presented grouped by the algorithm used, and each approach applied is compared to a respective algorithm of the state-of-the-art.

### 5.1 Results with the exact algorithm

Experimentation for the exact algorithm was conducted for a small set of 7 instances of CAs of strength $t=2$ where the amount of nodes $|V|=\binom{k}{t} v^{t} \leq 90$, results are shown in Table 5.1. The exact algorithm construct 7 CAs that matched the best-known upper bounds and these results are optimal.

| Instance | $\|\mathrm{V}\|$ | $\|\mathrm{E}\|$ | Density | ECC | $\mathrm{BUP}^{1}[10]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CA}(2,3,2)$ | 12 | 24 | 0.36363 | 4 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{CA}(2,4,2)$ | 24 | 144 | 0.52173 | 5 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{CA}(2,5,2)$ | 40 | 480 | 0.61538 | 6 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{CA}(2,6,2)$ | 60 | 1200 | 0.67796 | 6 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{CA}(2,3,3)$ | 27 | 81 | 0.23076 | 9 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CA}(2,4,3)$ | 54 | 567 | 0.39622 | 9 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CA}(2,5,3)$ | 90 | 2025 | 0.50561 | 11 | 11 |

Table 5.1: Results obtained for the experimentation conducted for the exact algorithm.

### 5.2 Results with the greedy algorithms

Experimentation for the first three greedy algorithms was conducted with a set of instances of CAs of strength $t=2$ where the number of nodes is $\leq 540$. Table 5.2 shows results for the four approaches. Despite the difference between the third approach in its two variants with the first and second approaches in terms of quality, the running time is substantially lower. G and Gv2 are competitive versus the state-of-the-art algorithms for $v=2$ and for $v>2$ outperforms IPOG-F [15] in most of the instances.

The last version of the greedy algorithm allows us to build solutions for larger instances, Tables 5.3 and 5.4 show the obtained results for 95 instances, where 18 instances matched the upper bounds of a state of the art greedy algorithm and 77 instances improved the upper bounds of the IPOG-F algorithm. As the strength and vocabulary grow, our greedy approach outperforms IPOG-F [15].

Results that match the best-known upper bounds are shown in bold.

| v2t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | v5t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | G | Gv2 | Gv3-Min | Gv3-Max | IPOG-F [15] | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | G | Gv2 | Gv3-Min | Gv3-Max | IPOG-F [15] |
| 4 | 24 | 144 | 0.52173 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 75 | 375 | 0.13513 | 25 | 26 | 39 | 36 | 26 |
| 5 | 40 | 480 | 0.61538 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 150 | 3375 | 0.30201 | 29 | 30 | 34 | 47 | 30 |
| 6 | 60 | 1200 | 0.67796 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 250 | 13125 | 0.42168 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 43 | 33 |
| 7 | 84 | 2520 | 0.72289 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 375 | 35625 | 0.50802 | 35 | 34 | 39 | 40 | 38 |
| 8 | 112 | 4704 | 0.75675 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 525 | 78750 | 0.57251 | 39 | 36 | 41 | 44 | 41 |
| 9 | 144 | 8064 | 0.78321 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 6 | v6t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 180 | 12960 | 0.80446 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 8 | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | G | Gv2 | Gv3-Min | Gv3-Max | IPOG-F 15] |
| 11 | 220 | 19800 | 0.82191 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 108 | 648 | 0.11214 | 38 | 38 | 57 | 51 | 38 |
| 12 | 264 | 29040 | 0.83650 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 216 | 6480 | 0.27906 | 44 | 43 | 49 | 69 | 43 |
| 13 | 312 | 41184 | 0.84887 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 360 | 25920 | 0.40111 | 48 | 46 | 50 | 60 | 46 |
| 14 | 364 | 56784 | 0.85950 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 540 | 71280 | 0.48979 | 49 | 49 | 58 | 57 | 52 |
| 15 | 420 | 76440 | 0.86873 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v3t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | G | Gv2 | Gv3-Min | Gv3-Max | IPOG-F [15] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 27 | 81 | 0.23076 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 54 | 567 | 0.39622 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 17 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 90 | 2025 | 0.50561 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 135 | 5265 | 0.58208 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 19 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 189 | 11340 | 0.63829 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 252 | 2154 | 0.68108 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 21 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 324 | 37422 | 0.71517 | 16 | 17 | 20 | 23 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 405 | 60750 | 0.74257 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 23 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v4t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | G | Gv2 | Gv3-Min | Gv3-Max | IPOG-F 15] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 48 | 192 | 0.17021 | 17 | 16 | 25 | 23 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 96 | 1536 | 0.33684 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 30 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 160 | 5760 | 0.45283 | 22 | 16 | 24 | 29 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 240 | 15360 | 0.53556 | 23 | 28 | 23 | 29 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 336 | 33600 | 0.59701 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 28 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 448 | 64512 | 0.64429 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 31 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2: Results obtained of the experimentation conducted for the first three versions of the greedy algorithm.

| v3t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | v5t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F [15] | Delta | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta |
| 3 | 27 | 81 | 0.23077 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 75 | 375 | 0.135135 | 26 | 26 | 0 |
| 4 | 54 | 567 | 0.39623 | 9 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 150 | 3375 | 0.302013 | 29 | 30 | 1 |
| 5 | 90 | 2025 | 0.50562 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 250 | 13125 | 0.421687 | 31 | 33 | 2 |
| 6 | 135 | 5265 | 0.58209 | 14 | 15 | 1 | 6 | 375 | 35625 | 0.508021 | 34 | 38 | 4 |
| 7 | 189 | 11340 | 0.63830 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 525 | 78750 | 0.572519 | 38 | 41 | 3 |
| 8 | 252 | 21546 | 0.68127 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 8 | 700 | 152250 | 0.622318 | 40 | 41 | 1 |
| 9 | 324 | 37422 | 0.71517 | 16 | 17 | 1 | 9 | 900 | 267750 | 0.661846 | 42 | 44 | 2 |
| 10 | 405 | 60750 | 0.74257 | 16 | 19 | 3 | 10 | 1125 | 438750 | 0.693950 | 44 | 45 | 1 |
| 11 | 495 | 93555 | 0.76518 | 18 | 19 | 1 | 11 | 1375 | 680625 | 0.720524 | 46 | 46 | 0 |
| 12 | 594 | 138105 | 0.78415 | 18 | 20 | 2 | 12 | 1650 | 1010625 | 0.742874 | 48 | 48 | 0 |
| 13 | 702 | 196911 | 0.80029 | 18 | 20 | 2 | v6t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 819 | 272727 | 0.81418 | 19 | 20 | 1 | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta |
| 15 | 945 | 368550 | 0.82627 | 19 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 108 | 648 | 0.112150 | 37 | 38 | 1 |
| 16 | 1080 | 487620 | 0.83689 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 216 | 6480 | 0.279070 | 42 | 43 | 1 |
| 17 | 1224 | 633420 | 0.84628 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 360 | 25920 | 0.401114 | 45 | 46 | 1 |
| 18 | 1377 | 809676 | 0.85465 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 540 | 71280 | 0.489796 | 50 | 52 | 2 |
| 19 | 1539 | 1020357 | 0.86216 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 7 | 756 | 158760 | 0.556291 | 52 | 54 | 2 |
| 20 | 1710 | 1269675 | 0.86893 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 1008 | 308448 | 0.607746 | 56 | 57 | 1 |
| v4t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 1296 | 544320 | 0.648649 | 59 | 59 | 0 |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta | 10 | 1620 | 894240 | 0.681902 | 62 | 63 | 1 |
| 3 | 48 | 192 | 0.170213 | 16 | 17 | 1 | v2t3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 96 | 1536 | 0.336842 | 16 | 20 | 4 | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta |
| 5 | 160 | 5760 | 0.452830 | 17 | 22 | 5 | 4 | 32 | 96 | 0.193548 | 8 | 9 | 1 |
| 6 | 240 | 15360 | 0.535565 | 23 | 24 | 1 | 5 | 80 | 960 | 0.303797 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| 7 | 336 | 33600 | 0.597015 | 25 | 27 | 2 | 6 | 160 | 4960 | 0.389937 | 12 | 14 | 2 |
| 8 | 448 | 64512 | 0.644295 | 26 | 27 | 1 | 7 | 280 | 17920 | 0.458781 | 12 | 16 | 4 |
| 9 | 576 | 112896 | 0.681739 | 28 | 29 | 1 | 8 | 448 | 51520 | 0.514541 | 14 | 17 | 3 |
| 10 | 720 | 184320 | 0.712100 | 28 | 29 | 1 | 9 | 672 | 126336 | 0.560358 | 16 | 17 | 1 |
| 11 | 880 | 285120 | 0.737201 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 10 | 960 | 275520 | 0.598540 | 16 | 18 | 2 |
| 12 | 1056 | 422400 | 0.758294 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 11 | 1320 | 549120 | 0.630781 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| 13 | 1248 | 604032 | 0.776263 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 12 | 1760 | 1019040 | 0.658329 | 18 | 19 | 1 |
| 14 | 1456 | 838656 | 0.791753 | 33 | 33 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1680 | 1135680 | 0.805241 | 34 | 34 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.3: Results obtained of the experimentation conducted for the last version of the greedy algorithm. Part 1 of 2

| v3t3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | v3t4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta |
| 4 | 108 | 486 | 0.08411 | 29 | 34 | 5 | 7 | 2835 | 433755 | 0.10797 | 156 | 164 | 8 |
| 5 | 270 | 6075 | 0.16729 | 39 | 42 | 3 | v4t4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 540 | 36450 | 0.25046 | 45 | 49 | 4 | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta |
| 7 | 945 | 144585 | 0.32415 | 49 | 52 | 3 | 5 | 1280 | 10240 | 0.01251 | 292 | 323 | 31 |
| 8 | 1512 | 442260 | 0.38716 | 54 | 56 | 2 | 6 | 3840 | 245760 | 0.03334 | 415 | 442 | 27 |
| 9 | 2268 | 1132866 | 0.44067 | 58 | 62 | 4 | 7 | 8960 | 2652160 | 0.06608 | 491 | 530 | 39 |
| v4t3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | v5t4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F [15] | Delta | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F [15] | Delta |
| 4 | 256 | 1536 | 0.04706 | 67 | 76 | 9 | 5 | 3125 | 31250 | 0.00640 | 742 | 793 | 51 |
| 5 | 640 | 23040 | 0.11268 | 86 | 94 | 8 | 6 | 9375 | 890625 | 0.02027 | 974 | 1059 | 85 |
| 6 | 1280 | 156160 | 0.19077 | 103 | 109 | 6 | v6t4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 2240 | 663040 | 0.26440 | 118 | 124 | 6 | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F [15] | Delta |
| v5t3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6480 | 77760 | 0.00370 | 1548 | 1628 | 80 |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta | v2t5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 500 | 3750 | 0.03006 | 138 | 148 | 10 | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta |
| 5 | 1250 | 65625 | 0.08407 | 173 | 181 | 8 | 6 | 192 | 960 | 0.05236 | 32 | 42 | 10 |
| 6 | 2500 | 493750 | 0.15806 | 202 | 209 | 7 | 7 | 672 | 20160 | 0.08942 | 52 | 57 | 5 |
| v6t3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1792 | 206080 | 0.12842 | 63 | 68 | 5 |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta | 9 | 4032 | 1370880 | 0.16869 | 76 | 77 | 1 |
| 4 | 864 | 7776 | 0.02086 | 238 | 259 | 21 | v3t5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2160 | 155520 | 0.06670 | 296 | 310 | 14 | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F [15] | Delta |
| 6 | 4320 | 1283040 | 0.13753 | 343 | 361 | 18 | 6 | 1458 | 10935 | 0.01030 | 273 | 318 | 45 |
| v2t4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 5103 | 306180 | 0.02352 | 438 | 467 | 29 |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F [15] | Delta | v4t5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 80 | 320 | 0.10127 | 16 | 22 | 6 | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F [15] | Delta |
| 6 | 240 | 4800 | 0.16736 | 25 | 26 | 1 | 6 | 6144 | 61440 | 0.00326 | 1283 | 1377 | 94 |
| 7 | 560 | 35840 | 0.22898 | 29 | 32 | 3 | v2t6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1120 | 179200 | 0.28597 | 32 | 34 | 2 | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta |
| 9 | 2016 | 685440 | 0.33747 | 34 | 37 | 3 | 7 | 448 | 2688 | 0.02685 | 65 | 79 | 14 |
| v3t4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1792 | 75264 | 0.04690 | 115 | 118 | 3 |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta | v3t6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 405 | 2430 | 0.02970 | 87 | 98 | 11 | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | Gv4 | IPOG-F 15] | Delta |
| 6 | 1215 | 47385 | 0.06425 | 133 | 140 | 7 | 7 | 5103 | 45927 | 0.00353 | 895 | 990 | 95 |
| 7 | 2835 | 433755 | 0.10797 | 156 | 164 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.4: Results obtained of the experimentation conducted for the last version of the greedy algorithm. Part 2 of 2

### 5.3 Results with the metaheuristic algorithm SACC

To conduct the experimentations for the SACC algorithm, 33 CA instances of $t=2$ and $v=\{3,4,5,6\}$ were selected. The parameters for usage probability of each function were settled as: $F 1=10 \%, F 1=10 \%, F 3=40 \%, F 4=40 \%$, and the cooling rate factor to $\alpha=0.9$. Table 5.5 show that 17 of the 33 instances matched the best-known upper bounds and 4 instances are improved. Results that matched or improved the best-known bounds are shown in bold.

| v3t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | v5t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | SACC | Best-known [10] | Delta | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | SACC | Best-known [10] | Delta |
| 3 | 27 | 81 | 0.23077 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 375 | 0.13514 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 4 | 54 | 567 | 0.39623 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 150 | 3375 | 0.30201 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 5 | 90 | 2025 | 0.50562 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 250 | 13125 | 0.42169 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 6 | 135 | 5265 | 0.58209 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 375 | 35625 | 0.50802 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 7 | 189 | 11340 | 0.63830 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 525 | 78750 | 0.57252 | 33 | 29 | -4 |
| 8 | 252 | 21546 | 0.68127 | 14 | 12 | -2 | 8 | 700 | 152250 | 0.62232 | 36 | 33 | -3 |
| 9 | 324 | 37422 | 0.71517 | 15 | 13 | -2 | 9 | 900 | 267750 | 0.66185 | 39 | 35 | -4 |
| 10 | 405 | 60750 | 0.74257 | 15 | 14 | -1 | 10 | 1125 | 438750 | 0.69395 | 40 | 36 | -4 |
| 11 | 495 | 93555 | 0.76518 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 1375 | 680625 | 0.72052 | 43 | 37 | -6 |
| v4t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | v6t2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | SACC | Best-known [10] | Delta | k | \|V| | \|E| | Density | SACC | Best-known [10] | Delta |
| 3 | 48 | 192 | 0.17021 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 648 | 0.11215 | 36 | 36 | 0 |
| 4 | 96 | 1536 | 0.33684 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 1620 | 894240 | 0.68190 | 48 | 48 | 0 |
| 5 | 160 | 5760 | 0.45283 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 11 | 1980 | 1389960 | 0.70945 | 50 | 51 | 1 |
| 6 | 240 | 15360 | 0.53556 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 12 | 2376 | 2067120 | 0.73263 | 52 | 53 | 1 |
| 7 | 336 | 33600 | 0.59701 | 22 | 21 | -1 | 13 | 2808 | 2965248 | 0.75240 | 54 | 55 | 1 |
| 8 | 448 | 64512 | 0.64430 | 23 | 21 | -2 | 14 | 3276 | 4127760 | 0.76947 | 56 | 57 | 1 |
| 9 | 576 | 112896 | 0.68174 | 25 | 22 | -3 | 18 | 5508 | 12426048 | 0.81932 | 57 | 57 | 0 |
| 10 | 720 | 184320 | 0.71210 | 26 | 22 | -4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.5: Results of the experimentation conducted for the SACC algorithm.

### 5.4 Results with the GBPO algorithm

To conduct the experimentation for the GBPO algorithm we selected a set of 30 CAs with number of columns in the range of $3 \leq k \leq 32$ for the alphabets $2 \leq v \leq 6$ of strength $t=2$, a set of 30 CAs with number of columns in the range of $4 \leq k \leq 33$ for the alphabets $2 \leq v \leq 6$ of strength $t=3$, a set of 20 CAs with number of columns in the range of $5 \leq k \leq 24$ for the alphabets $2 \leq v \leq 6$ of strength $t=4$, a set of 20 CAs with number of columns in the range of $6 \leq k \leq 25$ for the alphabets $2 \leq v \leq 6$ of strength $t=5$, and a set of 15 CAs with number of columns in the range of $7 \leq k \leq 16$ for the alphabets $2 \leq v \leq 5$ of strength $t=6$, for a total of 560 CAs generated by the algorithm IPOG-F developed by Forbes et al. [15] . In Tables 5.6 to 5.10 the obtained results of our graph based post-optimization approach (GBPO) are shown, results that match the best-known bounds results registered in Colbourn tables [10] are shown in bold. Compared to the IPOG-F, every tested instance is improved.

Additionally, a set of 32 instances of strength $t=2$ were selected to perform a comparison with the results obtained by the algorithm proposed by Li et al. [36]. Table 5.11 shows that every result is matched and 16 results are improved. The parameters selected for both experimentations were, tol $=200000, f=3, \max =200$. Since in some cases the parameter tol limits the number of rows immerse in the graph solving process, the performing of a random selection of the rows that constitute part of the process of elimination-addition of rows pays off with better results.

The Figures 5.1 to 5.5 shows the behavior of the obtained results by the GBPO of CAs with strength $2 \leq t \leq 6$, the $y$-axis represents the number of rows in logarithmic scale, and the $x$-axis represents the number of columns of the CAs.

| $k$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=2 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ | GBPO | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=3 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ | GBPO | $\Delta$ | $v=4$ <br> IPOG-F | GBPO | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=5 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $v=6$ <br> IPOG-F | GBPO | $\Delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 17 | 16 | 1 | 26 | 25 | 1 | 38 | 36 | 2 |
| 4 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 20 | 16 | 4 | 30 | 25 | 5 | 43 | 38 | 5 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 2 | 22 | 16 | 6 | 33 | 25 | 8 | 46 | 43 | 3 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 24 | 20 | 4 | 38 | 25 | 13 | 52 | 45 | 7 |
| 7 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 27 | 22 | 5 | 41 | 34 | 7 | 54 | 48 | 6 |
| 8 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 13 | 2 | 27 | 23 | 4 | 41 | 35 | 6 | 57 | 51 | 6 |
| 9 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 4 | 29 | 24 | 5 | 44 | 37 | 7 | 59 | 53 | 6 |
| 10 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 19 | 15 | 4 | 29 | 25 | 4 | 45 | 38 | 7 | 63 | 56 | 7 |
| 11 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 19 | 15 | 4 | 31 | 26 | 5 | 46 | 41 | 5 | 64 | 56 | 8 |
| 12 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 15 | 5 | 31 | 27 | 4 | 48 | 41 | 7 | 67 | 59 | 8 |
| 13 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 15 | 5 | 33 | 27 | 6 | 49 | 43 | 6 | 68 | 62 | 6 |
| 14 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 16 | 4 | 33 | 28 | 5 | 50 | 44 | 6 | 68 | 63 | 5 |
| 15 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 16 | 4 | 34 | 29 | 5 | 51 | 45 | 6 | 73 | 65 | 8 |
| 16 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 16 | 4 | 35 | 29 | 6 | 51 | 47 | 4 | 75 | 66 | 9 |
| 17 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 20 | 17 | 3 | 36 | 30 | 6 | 54 | 47 | 7 | 75 | 68 | 7 |
| 18 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 20 | 17 | 3 | 36 | 30 | 6 | 54 | 48 | 6 | 75 | 68 | 7 |
| 19 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 21 | 18 | 3 | 37 | 31 | 6 | 54 | 49 | 5 | 75 | 70 | 5 |
| 20 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 21 | 18 | 3 | 37 | 32 | 5 | 56 | 49 | 7 | 79 | 71 | 8 |
| 21 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 21 | 18 | 3 | 37 | 32 | 5 | 56 | 51 | 5 | 79 | 72 | 7 |
| 22 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 21 | 18 | 3 | 38 | 33 | 5 | 57 | 52 | 5 | 80 | 73 | 7 |
| 23 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 21 | 19 | 2 | 40 | 33 | 7 | 59 | 52 | 7 | 83 | 75 | 8 |
| 24 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 21 | 19 | 2 | 40 | 34 | 6 | 59 | 53 | 6 | 83 | 75 | 8 |
| 25 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 21 | 19 | 2 | 40 | 34 | 6 | 59 | 54 | 5 | 83 | 76 | 7 |
| 26 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 23 | 19 | 4 | 41 | 35 | 6 | 59 | 54 | 5 | 85 | 78 | 7 |
| 27 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 23 | 19 | 4 | 41 | 35 | 6 | 62 | 54 | 8 | 85 | 78 | 7 |
| 28 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 23 | 20 | 3 | 41 | 35 | 6 | 62 | 56 | 6 | 85 | 79 | 6 |
| 29 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 23 | 20 | 3 | 41 | 36 | 5 | 62 | 56 | 6 | 85 | 80 | 5 |
| 30 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 23 | 20 | 3 | 41 | 36 | 5 | 63 | 57 | 6 | 86 | 80 | 6 |
| 31 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 23 | 21 | 2 | 41 | 36 | 5 | 63 | 57 | 6 | 89 | 82 | 7 |
| 32 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 23 | 21 | 2 | 41 | 37 | 4 | 63 | 58 | 5 | 90 | 83 | 7 |

Table 5.6: Results obtained of the experimentation conducted for the GBPO algorithm with instances of strength $t=2$.

| $k$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=2 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=3 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=4 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=5 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=6 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ | GBPO | $\Delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 34 | 27 | 7 | 76 | 68 | 8 | 148 | 133 | 15 | 259 | 243 | 16 |
| 5 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 42 | 33 | 9 | 94 | 86 | 8 | 181 | 165 | 16 | 310 | 291 | 19 |
| 6 | 14 | 12 | 2 | 49 | 35 | 14 | 109 | 100 | 9 | 209 | 192 | 17 | 361 | 340 | 21 |
| 7 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 52 | 44 | 8 | 124 | 110 | 14 | 236 | 219 | 17 | 400 | 384 | 16 |
| 8 | 17 | 12 | 5 | 56 | 50 | 6 | 135 | 121 | 14 | 257 | 239 | 18 | 437 | 419 | 18 |
| 9 | 17 | 12 | 5 | 62 | 53 | 9 | 146 | 132 | 14 | 276 | 255 | 21 | 476 | 457 | 19 |
| 10 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 66 | 56 | 10 | 155 | 139 | 16 | 299 | 279 | 20 | 507 | 485 | 22 |
| 11 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 68 | 59 | 9 | 163 | 150 | 13 | 312 | 295 | 17 | 537 | 511 | 26 |
| 12 | 19 | 15 | 4 | 71 | 63 | 8 | 170 | 156 | 14 | 329 | 315 | 14 | 564 | 545 | 19 |
| 13 | 20 | 17 | 3 | 76 | 66 | 10 | 177 | 163 | 14 | 344 | 329 | 15 | 589 | 567 | 22 |
| 14 | 21 | 18 | 3 | 77 | 69 | 8 | 183 | 169 | 14 | 355 | 341 | 14 | 613 | 593 | 20 |
| 15 | 21 | 18 | 3 | 80 | 71 | 9 | 188 | 175 | 13 | 367 | 354 | 13 | 633 | 613 | 20 |
| 16 | 22 | 18 | 4 | 82 | 73 | 9 | 194 | 182 | 12 | 380 | 361 | 19 | 652 | 630 | 22 |
| 17 | 24 | 19 | 5 | 85 | 75 | 10 | 199 | 187 | 12 | 393 | 377 | 16 | 670 | 650 | 20 |
| 18 | 24 | 20 | 4 | 88 | 77 | 11 | 202 | 193 | 9 | 401 | 389 | 12 | 689 | 677 | 12 |
| 19 | 24 | 20 | 4 | 91 | 79 | 12 | 211 | 197 | 14 | 412 | 396 | 16 | 707 | 692 | 15 |
| 20 | 25 | 21 | 4 | 92 | 81 | 11 | 215 | 203 | 12 | 420 | 406 | 14 | 724 | 704 | 20 |
| 21 | 25 | 22 | 3 | 93 | 85 | 8 | 218 | 206 | 12 | 426 | 417 | 9 | 739 | 720 | 19 |
| 22 | 26 | 22 | 4 | 93 | 85 | 8 | 223 | 209 | 14 | 435 | 424 | 11 | 756 | 737 | 19 |
| 23 | 26 | 23 | 3 | 94 | 86 | 8 | 227 | 217 | 10 | 444 | 431 | 13 | 769 | 750 | 19 |
| 24 | 26 | 24 | 2 | 98 | 87 | 11 | 232 | 218 | 14 | 454 | 440 | 14 | 785 | 765 | 20 |
| 25 | 27 | 24 | 3 | 98 | 90 | 8 | 236 | 223 | 13 | 461 | 449 | 12 | 798 | 777 | 21 |
| 26 | 27 | 25 | 2 | 99 | 91 | 8 | 239 | 228 | 11 | 468 | 456 | 12 | 812 | 797 | 15 |
| 27 | 28 | 25 | 3 | 100 | 93 | 7 | 243 | 231 | 12 | 480 | 463 | 17 | 825 | 804 | 21 |
| 28 | 28 | 25 | 3 | 101 | 94 | 7 | 247 | 235 | 12 | 482 | 467 | 15 | 840 | 823 | 17 |
| 29 | 28 | 26 | 2 | 103 | 95 | 8 | 252 | 238 | 14 | 486 | 477 | 9 | 848 | 832 | 16 |
| 30 | 28 | 26 | 2 | 106 | 96 | 10 | 255 | 244 | 11 | 495 | 482 | 13 | 859 | 839 | 20 |
| 31 | 29 | 27 | 2 | 106 | 97 | 9 | 259 | 245 | 14 | 505 | 489 | 16 | 870 | 856 | 14 |
| 32 | 31 | 27 | 4 | 107 | 98 | 9 | 259 | 248 | 11 | 511 | 492 | 19 | 882 | 865 | 17 |
| 33 | 31 | 27 | 4 | 108 | 99 | 9 | 262 | 253 | 9 | 516 | 498 | 18 | 891 | 878 | 13 |

Table 5.7: Results obtained of the experimentation conducted for the GBPO algorithm with instances of strength $t=3$.

| $k$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=2 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=3 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=4 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=5 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=6 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ | GBPO | $\Delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 22 | 16 | 6 | 98 | 86 | 12 | 323 | 301 | 22 | 793 | 739 | 54 | 1628 | 1604 | 24 |
| 6 | 26 | 21 | 5 | 140 | 124 | 16 | 442 | 408 | 34 | 1059 | 1012 | 47 | 2165 | 2124 | 41 |
| 7 | 32 | 24 | 8 | 164 | 148 | 16 | 530 | 500 | 30 | 1280 | 1222 | 58 | 2628 | 2564 | 64 |
| 8 | 34 | 24 | 10 | 188 | 168 | 20 | 599 | 566 | 33 | 1460 | 1430 | 30 | 3007 | 2974 | 33 |
| 9 | 37 | 24 | 13 | 211 | 189 | 22 | 669 | 646 | 23 | 1638 | 1611 | 27 | 3374 | 3330 | 44 |
| 10 | 41 | 24 | 17 | 228 | 210 | 18 | 725 | 702 | 23 | 1791 | 1755 | 36 | 3713 | 3676 | 37 |
| 11 | 43 | 38 | 5 | 248 | 226 | 22 | 784 | 755 | 29 | 1941 | 1909 | 32 | 4011 | 3955 | 56 |
| 12 | 47 | 40 | 7 | 262 | 244 | 18 | 835 | 819 | 16 | 2068 | 2031 | 37 | 4295 | 4249 | 46 |
| 13 | 49 | 42 | 7 | 277 | 256 | 21 | 893 | 870 | 23 | 2193 | 2168 | 25 | 4553 | 4506 | 47 |
| 14 | 52 | 44 | 8 | 288 | 276 | 12 | 933 | 914 | 19 | 2321 | 2276 | 45 | 4800 | 4757 | 43 |
| 15 | 53 | 47 | 6 | 302 | 286 | 16 | 976 | 962 | 14 | 2424 | 2398 | 26 | 5024 | 4991 | 33 |
| 16 | 56 | 48 | 8 | 316 | 300 | 16 | 1018 | 1004 | 14 | 2528 | 2486 | 42 | 5248 | 5199 | 49 |
| 17 | 57 | 51 | 6 | 328 | 311 | 17 | 1060 | 1045 | 15 | 2627 | 2590 | 37 | 5449 | 5396 | 53 |
| 18 | 60 | 53 | 7 | 336 | 325 | 11 | 1098 | 1077 | 21 | 2725 | 2697 | 28 | 5650 | 5603 | 47 |
| 19 | 62 | 55 | 7 | 347 | 336 | 11 | 1131 | 1110 | 21 | 2805 | 2793 | 12 | 5841 | 5800 | 41 |
| 20 | 64 | 58 | 6 | 358 | 346 | 12 | 1165 | 1149 | 16 | 2892 | 2870 | 22 | 6015 | 5972 | 43 |
| 21 | 68 | 59 | 9 | 369 | 354 | 15 | 1201 | 1183 | 18 | 2982 | 2964 | 18 | 6186 | 6144 | 42 |
| 22 | 69 | 60 | 9 | 380 | 364 | 16 | 1234 | 1218 | 16 | 3066 | 3025 | 41 | 6352 | 6320 | 32 |
| 23 | 70 | 62 | 8 | 388 | 376 | 12 | 1266 | 1250 | 16 | 3133 | 3122 | 11 | 6508 | 6474 | 34 |
| 24 | 71 | 64 | 7 | 396 | 383 | 13 | 1298 | 1281 | 17 | 3203 | 3165 | 38 | 6662 | 6623 | 39 |

Table 5.8: Results obtained of the experimentation conducted for the GBPO algorithm with instances of strength $t=4$.

| k | $\begin{aligned} & v=2 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=3 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=4 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=5 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & v=6 \\ & \text { IPOG-F } \end{aligned}$ | GBPO | $\Delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 42 | 32 | 10 | 318 | 266 | 52 | 1377 | 1276 | 101 | 4195 | 4018 | 177 | 10407 | 10112 | 295 |
| 7 | 57 | 42 | 15 | 467 | 432 | 35 | 1966 | 1890 | 76 | 5942 | 5718 | 224 | 14712 | 14025 | 687 |
| 8 | 68 | 52 | 16 | 557 | 513 | 44 | 2406 | 2334 | 72 | 7349 | 7135 | 214 | 18266 | 17571 | 695 |
| 9 | 77 | 65 | 12 | 652 | 607 | 45 | 2795 | 2723 | 72 | 8629 | 8383 | 246 | 21474 | 20894 | 580 |
| 10 | 87 | 74 | 13 | 738 | 689 | 49 | 3181 | 3117 | 64 | 9796 | 9645 | 151 | 24394 | 23911 | 483 |
| 11 | 95 | 83 | 12 | 815 | 771 | 44 | 3521 | 3471 | 50 | 10862 | 10712 | 150 | 27151 | 26682 | 469 |
| 12 | 105 | 91 | 14 | 885 | 850 | 35 | 3854 | 3787 | 67 | 11889 | 11775 | 114 | 29645 | 29340 | 305 |
| 13 | 111 | 101 | 10 | 957 | 931 | 26 | 4158 | 4094 | 64 | 12851 | 12739 | 112 | 32076 | 31806 | 270 |
| 14 | 119 | 104 | 15 | 1021 | 993 | 28 | 4454 | 4384 | 70 | 13748 | 13593 | 155 | 34309 | 34102 | 207 |
| 15 | 127 | 104 | 23 | 1080 | 1049 | 31 | 4718 | 4673 | 45 | 14578 | 14457 | 121 | 36429 | 36216 | 213 |
| 16 | 134 | 104 | 30 | 1140 | 1106 | 34 | 4970 | 4925 | 45 | 15379 | 15223 | 156 | 38408 | 38248 | 160 |
| 17 | 140 | 130 | 10 | 1190 | 1170 | 20 | 5214 | 5176 | 38 | 16128 | 15997 | 131 | 40334 | 40207 | 127 |
| 18 | 144 | 134 | 10 | 1241 | 1214 | 27 | 5458 | 5408 | 50 | 16843 | 16738 | 105 | 42102 | 42019 | 83 |
| 19 | 148 | 137 | 11 | 1293 | 1259 | 34 | 5679 | 5621 | 58 | 17516 | 17420 | 96 | 43833 | 43763 | 70 |
| 20 | 155 | 142 | 13 | 1342 | 1306 | 36 | 5884 | 5828 | 56 | 18171 | 18039 | 132 | 45425 | 45376 | 49 |
| 21 | 160 | 147 | 13 | 1386 | 1359 | 27 | 6085 | 6032 | 53 | 18779 | 18720 | 59 | 46970 | 46933 | 37 |
| 22 | 163 | 152 | 11 | 1432 | 1407 | 25 | 6273 | 6226 | 47 | 19387 | 19265 | 122 | 48479 | 48462 | 17 |
| 23 | 168 | 158 | 10 | 1475 | 1450 | 25 | 6443 | 6406 | 37 | 19941 | 19841 | 100 | 49924 | 49908 | 16 |
| 24 | 175 | 165 | 10 | 1513 | 1490 | 23 | 6629 | 6600 | 29 | 20482 | 20385 | 97 | 51287 | 51280 | 7 |
| 25 | 181 | 168 | 13 | 1547 | 1530 | 17 | 6805 | 6759 | 46 | 21004 | 20902 | 102 | 52604 | 52580 | 24 |

Table 5.9: Results obtained of the experimentation conducted for the GBPO algorithm with instances of strength $t=5$.

| $t=6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $v=2$ |  |  | $v=3$ |  |  | $v=4$ |  |  | $v=5$ |  |  |
| k | IPOG-F | GBPO | $\Delta$ | IPOG-F | GBPO | $\Delta$ | IPOG-F | GBPO | $\Delta$ | IPOG-F | GBPO | $\Delta$ |
| 7 | 79 | 64 | 15 | 990 | 861 | 129 | 5761 | 5472 | 289 | 22100 | 21299 | 801 |
| 8 | 118 | 85 | 33 | 1490 | 1432 | 58 | 8579 | 8060 | 519 | 32822 | 31282 | 1540 |
| 9 | 142 | 122 | 20 | 1847 | 1774 | 73 | 10724 | 10239 | 485 | 41210 | 40447 | 763 |
| 10 | 165 | 148 | 17 | 2190 | 2130 | 60 | 12713 | 12350 | 363 | 49111 | 48505 | 606 |
| 11 | 192 | 170 | 22 | 2512 | 2448 | 64 | 14661 | 14232 | 429 | 56615 | 56164 | 451 |
| 12 | 215 | 195 | 20 | 2815 | 2765 | 50 | 16446 | 16106 | 340 | 63620 | 63245 | 375 |
| 13 | 237 | 214 | 23 | 3106 | 3048 | 58 | 18136 | 17865 | 271 | 70190 | 69855 | 335 |
| 14 | 256 | 234 | 22 | 3358 | 3310 | 48 | 19739 | 19485 | 254 | 76390 | 76113 | 277 |
| 15 | 276 | 256 | 20 | 3623 | 3553 | 70 | 21215 | 21029 | 186 | 82139 | 81944 | 195 |
| 16 | 292 | 275 | 17 | 3863 | 3824 | 39 | 22608 | 22432 | 176 | 87559 | 87338 | 221 |
| 17 | 309 | 291 | 18 | 4095 | 4048 | 47 | 23947 | 23736 | 211 | 92701 | 92543 | 158 |
| 18 | 327 | 309 | 18 | 4310 | 4254 | 56 | 25212 | 25095 | 117 | 97605 | 97473 | 132 |
| 19 | 343 | 323 | 20 | 4509 | 4469 | 40 | 26392 | 26264 | 128 | 102208 | 101970 | 238 |
| 20 | 363 | 346 | 17 | 4701 | 4658 | 43 | 27534 | 27374 | 160 | 110842 | 106558 | 4284 |
| 21 | 375 | 356 | 19 | 4890 | 4866 | 24 | 28625 | 28521 | 104 | 114775 | 110703 | 4072 |

Table 5.10: Results obtained of the experimentation conducted for the GBPO algorithm with instances of strength $t=6$.

| $t=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v=3$ |  |  |  | $v=4$ |  |  |  | $v=5$ |  |  |  | $v=6$ |  |  |  |
| $k$ | Li et al. [36] | GBPO | $\Delta$ | $k$ | Li et al. [36] | GBPO | $\Delta$ | $k$ | Li et al. [36] | GBPO | $\Delta$ | $k$ | Li et al. 36] | GBPO | $\Delta$ |
| 10 | 16 | 15 | 1 | 15 | 30 | 29 | 1 | 9 | 39 | 37 | 2 | 8 | 53 | 51 | 2 |
| 20 | 19 | 18 | 1 | 27 | 36 | 35 | 1 |  | 49 | 47 | 2 | 23 | 75 | 75 | 0 |
| 33 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 35 | 39 | 38 | 1 | 38 | 62 | 60 | 2 | 42 | 90 | 88 | 2 |
| 48 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 57 | 44 | 43 | 1 | 43 | 63 | 63 | 0 | 56 | 97 | 95 | 2 |
| 92 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 66 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 54 | 67 | 67 | 0 | 65 | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| 101 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 72 | 46 | 46 | 0 | 77 | 74 | 73 | 1 | 82 | 107 | 105 | 2 |
| 122 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 83 | 47 | 47 | 0 | 96 | 77 | 77 | 0 | 104 | 113 | 111 | 2 |
| 146 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 139 | 54 | 54 | 0 | 111 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 124 | 118 | 117 | 1 |

Table 5.11: Comparative of results between Li et al. [36] and our GBPO algorithm for instances of strength $t=2$.


Figure 5.1: Results obtained of the experimentation for the GBPO algorithm with instances of $t=2$.


Figure 5.2: Results obtained of the experimentation for the GBPO algorithm with instances of $t=3$.


Figure 5.3: Results obtained of the experimentation for the GBPO algorithm with instances of $t=4$.


Figure 5.4: Results obtained of the experimentation for the GBPO algorithm with instances of $t=5$.


Figure 5.5: Results obtained of the experimentation for the GBPO algorithm with instances of $t=6$.

### 5.5 Summary

This chapter presented the results obtained for the experimentation of each one of the proposed algorithms to solve the CACP in the graph domain. The exact algorithm construct 7 CAs that matched the best-known upper bounds and results are optimal. The last version for the greedy algorithm generated 18 instances that matched the results obtained by a greedy algorithm of the state-of-the-art and improved 77 instances versus the same algorithm. The metaheuristic algorithm matched 17 instances of the current best-known upper bounds and improves 4 instances that will be now the best-known upper bounds. The GBPO algorithm improves 560 CAs of the IPOG-F instances repository.

In the next chapter the main contributions of the thesis will be summarized and we will provide directions for further research in the solution of CACP in the graph domain.

## Conclusions and future works

This chapter finalizes the thesis document. Section 6.1 summarizes the main contributions of the thesis; Section 6.2 gives directions for further research in the CACP in the graph domain.

### 6.1 Main contributions

A novel and original graph representation for CAs was presented that maps the covering array construction problem to the minimum clique covering problem in the graph domain.

We have presented three types of algorithms to solve CACP in the graph domain, an exact algorithm (ECC), a greedy algorithm (GCC), and a metaheuristic algorithm (SACC) to find the minimum clique cover. And a post-optimization approach to improve previously constructed CAs by mapping them to the graph domain.

The proposed representation allowed us to build CAs one row at a time.
The exact algorithm constructed small optimal results (where $\binom{k}{t} v^{t} \leq 90$ ) that matched the best-known upper bounds for 7 CAs.

The first three greedy versions provided competitive results versus the IPOG-F algorithm but takes too much time to process bigger instances. The fourth version of the greedy algorithm improved the size of every instance versus the IPOG-F algorithm.

The metaheuristic algorithm provided competitive results versus the best-known bounds, and improved 4 of the best-known upper bounds by a row.

We have presented GBPO a novel post-optimization approach that takes advantages of moving the missing interactions in a CA to the graph domain. In the graph domain, the coverage of missing interactions is equivalent to finding a set of maximal cliques, and each maximal clique is equivalent to a row in the CA domain. In order to show the validity and advantages of our approach two sets of CAs were used: a) the first set consists of 560 CAs of strength $2 \leq t \leq 6$, alphabet $2 \leq v \leq 6$, and parameters $3 \leq k \leq 32$, generated by IPOG-F, GBPO improved all CAs and 37 cases matched the best-known upper bounds [10]; and b) the second set consists of 32 CAs of strength $t=2$, alphabet $3 \leq v \leq 6$, and number of parameters $8 \leq k \leq 146$ to compare with a state-of-art, in this set 16 cases were improved and 16 cases were matched [36]. This work were published in [46].

The improvement of the best-known upper bounds for CAs reduces the number of test cases required to validate hardware and software components, which is translated into saving time and money aimed at the hardware and software testing. Moreover, the development of methods that are capable of construct one row at a time, like our greedy approach, allow us to perform testing on-the-fly, which also is translated into saving time by starting the testing progress when the test suite is not complete.

### 6.2 Future works

Despite the running time reduction in the last version of the greedy algorithm, it is possible to parallelize it to improve its current running time, by unwrapping the search of the candidate clique to be added to the cover. Also, it is possible to incorporate some vocabulary knowledge to the
algorithm, allowing it to fix $v$ cliques at a time instead of one by one.
SACC algorithm is deeply dependent of the neighborhood functions, and the running time of each neighborhood is highly dependent of the instance that is processed, it is possible to add a controller to auto-adapt the probabilities of using each neighborhood on the fly, and to vary the size of the cliques set used in each neighborhood.

GBPO also is suitable to be parallelized to process larger CAs, by decomposing the exhaustive work done in the MAPPER process.

In this thesis is explored a representation to map the characteristics of a CA. It is possible to explore additional graph mappings that generates a distinct problem to solve in the graph domain.
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[^0]:    - That coefficient represents the set of different rows of $k$ elements that can be created with $a$ number of $0 \mathrm{~s}, b$ number of 1 s and $c$ number of 2 s . For any strength $t \leq k$ a CA of $k$ columns could be constructed by vertically juxtaposing the subset of rows represented by the trinomial coefficients. For example, with this construction for $t=2$ and $k=4$ the CA with minimal rows is created with the coefficients: $\binom{4}{3,0,1},\binom{4}{0,1,3},\binom{4}{1,3,0}$ which form a CA with 12 rows $\mathrm{CA}(12 ; 2,4,3)$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Output CA with less or equal number of rows than input CA, and missing interactions equal or reduced.

